

Are They Still Watching?

The cost of CCTV in an era of cuts

A Big Brother Watch Report February 2016

Contents

Foreword – Surveillance Camera Commissioner,Tony Porter	3
Executive Summary	2
Key Findings	e
Key Tables	7
Policy Recommendations	11
CCTV in the UK	12
Table 7: Total number of cameras controlled by local authorities and Total Local Authority Expenditure on CCTV	15
Table 8: Local Authority Expenditure on Installation, Operation and Maintenance	30
Table 9: Local Authority Expenditure on Wages and Salary	53
Appendix 1: Methodology	67
Appendix 2: Original Freedom of Information Request	68
About Big Brother Watch	69

For media enquiries relating to this report and you would like to contact Big Brother Watch, including outside office hours, please call +44 (0) 7505 448925 (24hrs) You can also email press@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk for written enquiries.

Foreword Surveillance Camera Commissioner, Tony Porter

Big Brother Watch present a timely report highlighting the competing tensions faced by local authorities when delivering public space video surveillance. The pressure on public services caused by austerity versus the future challenges of emerging technology are neatly addressed through analysis of recent spending patterns. Despite the reduction in spending highlighted in this report I am certain that new and advancing technologies will see further investment by local authorities to deliver new and exciting capabilities; from smart cities to smarter surveillance, the use of video analytics and algorithms to help protect its citizens from crime, support the night time economy and ensure free passage of traffic in our cities and towns. The sums of money involved are massive and it's important that those charged with delivering this service get it right.

My team is developing a process – a 'Passport to Compliance' – which will allow local authorities to follow an incremental and intelligent approach to considering whether a new surveillance camera system is essential. It begins with the question; "why do we want surveillance cameras and is there a legitimate and pressing need for them?"

It will provide a framework and guidance schedule to ensure that every pound of public money spent on surveillance camera systems is spent wisely. It will ensure local authorities comply with the Surveillance Camera Code of Practice. This report neatly highlights the imperative of implementing such a process.

Each recommendation in this report, if adopted, would serve to improve the quality of public surveillance; increase accountability and transparency to our citizens and help to drive up standards at every level. I support this report and the recommendations made within it and thank Big Brother Watch for shedding light on this issue.

Tony Porter

Autory Port

Surveillance Camera Commissioner

Executive Summary

CCTV has been a mainstay of our streets and public spaces for over 20 years. 10 years ago the enthusiasm for CCTV led to the UK being classified as the most watched nation on earth¹. As ever where we lead, others follow, countless countries around the world are now installing cameras and surveilling their citizens. But what now for the UK, where are we currently at with this technology? Are we still rampantly installing CCTV or have we reached saturation point, and if not what might the future of street surveillance bring?

Public space CCTV is maintained by a number of different bodies; the police, local authorities, private businesses and increasingly by private individuals. Local authorities use CCTV for crime detection and prevention, including watching pubs, clubs and bars at night, as well as monitoring the flow of people and traffic.

Local authorities have historically been enthusiastic at installing CCTV on our streets. Between 1999 and 2012 the number of cameras installed by local authorities across the country jumped from 21,000 to over 51,000.

In response to this Big Brother Watch used Freedom of Information requests to determine how much money was being spent on these systems. The report **Price of Privacy (2012)** revealed that between 2007 and 2011 local authorities spent £515 million installing, maintaining and monitoring CCTV cameras. A staggering sum.

Five years on we present **Are They Still Watching?** an update of the figures outlining the money spent by local authorities on the CCTV systems between 2012 to 2015.

Our findings show that nationally there has been a decrease in the money spent on the installation, maintenance and monitoring of CCTV. Whilst some parts of the country have scrapped their CCTV schemes altogether, other areas, notably London have reported over a 71% increase in CCTV coverage.

Whilst we are pleased to see a reduction in spending on CCTV, we have to understand that the rationale behind the figures is not ideological. Local authorities have not suddenly woken up to privacy and acknowledged the intrusion CCTV causes.

It is vital that we consider the financial pressures on local authorities during this period. It has been noted that in the 2015/2016 financial year alone, local authorities have been instructed to find £2.6 billion of savings 2 we suspect therefore that our findings highlight the budgetary constraints and cuts in council coffers since 2010.

¹ BBC News, UK 'most watched nation' by CCTV, 21st July 2009: http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/8160757.stm

² Surveillance Camera Commissioner, *Speech to Security Twenty 15*, 9th July 2015: https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/speech-to-security-twenty-15

We also acknowledge the changes to regulation since the Protection of Freedoms Act in 2012 and the subsequent codes of practice for CCTV from the Surveillance Camera Commissioner and the Information Commissioners Office.

We have long supported the use of CCTV where it is proven to be beneficial. We hope that in some areas cameras have been removed because they were not-fit-for purpose or poorly situated.

It appears that a number of cost saving initiatives have been introduced such as an end to 24 hour monitoring of CCTV and the merging of the role of CCTV operator with other roles within the authority. It is worth noting that in some areas responsibility for CCTV has been passed to local town councils which will inevitably impact on costs.

Looking to the future and the expansion of technology, it is important that we do not assume from these figures that CCTV may be on the wane. We are on the cusp of yet another breakthrough in technology, the rise of smarter technology, smarter cities, facial biometrics, 3D scanning and other more accurate forms of surveillance technology are all a blink away. Over the next three years the move away from analogue to digital will gather pace. The impact on CCTV schemes is likely to be inevitable.

Whilst we are encouraged by some of these results, we acknowledge that they may merely be a lull before the storm of new surveillance technology appears on our streets. In light of that we propose policy recommendations which should be considered by local authorities before the pressure to update their systems becomes too great to ignore.

Policy Recommendations:

- 1) Any improvement of systems to include additional capabilities such as smart technology, biometrics or linking systems must consider the increased risk to citizen's privacy. This should be clearly addressed in the business case and privacy impact assessment.
- 2) Local authorities should regularly report statistics on the number of crimes detected, investigated and solved by each camera to demonstrate the necessity.
- 3) A single point of contact should be created to oversee CCTV use and resolve complaints.
- 4) A single, enforceable Code of Practice which applies to all CCTV cameras should be released.

Key Findings

- Local authorities control at least 45,284 CCTV cameras, a 12.5% decrease from 2012.
- At least £277,079,999.60 has been spent on the installation, maintenance and monitoring of these cameras, a decrease of 46.4% from 2012.
- £38,235,429.13 was spent on the installation of CCTV, a decrease of 57.3% from 2012.
- £139,550,589.09 was spent on the maintenance of cameras, a decrease of 42% from 2012.
- £99,293,981.38 was spent on the wages and salary costs of CCTV operators, a decrease of 47% from 2012.
- London authorities recorded a **71.8% increase** in the number of cameras they operate **from 8,105 to 13,924**.
 - London also has 1/3rd (30.6%) of the UKs cameras and 22% of the overall spend was in the capital.
- Wales has the highest average CCTV spend of any UK region, with £9,000 per camera
- London spends on average £4,310 per camera, the lowest of any UK region.
- The overall total is equivalent to spending:
 - o £92,359,999.87 every year
 - o £7,696,666.66 every month
 - o £1,776,153.84 every week
 - o £253,041.10 every day
 - o £10,543.38 every hour
 - o £175.72 every minute
 - o £2.93 every second

Key Tables³

Table 1: Top 10 Highest Spending Local Authorities

No	Council	Total Spend	2012 Spend
1	Westminster	£7,327,747.33	£11,831,554
2	Birmingham	£5,598,168	£14,293,060
3	Runnymede	£5,281,821.74	£653,583
4	Croydon	£4,993,876	£5,329,589
5	City of Edinburgh	£4,807,383	£6,211,425.30
6	Ealing	£3,585,920.64	£3,547,000
7	Dacorum	£3,441,016	£3,134,654.48
8	Leicester	£3,187,898.93	£4,762,729.94
9	Enfield	£3,143,436.65	£4,996,900
10	Camden	£2,955,890.52	£3,709,816.73

Key Facts

- Croydon spends £14 per resident on CCTV, compared to just £4 in neighbouring Bromley⁴.
- Birmingham has cut back on spending by 60% since 2012.
- Runnymede spends £65 on CCTV per resident.

Table 2: Top 10 Highest Number of CCTV Cameras

No	Council	Number of Cameras	Number of Cameras (2012)
1	Hackney	2900	Did not respond
2	Wandsworth	2246	1150
3	Hammersmith and Fulham	1150	452
4	Camden	1015	793
5	Woking	691	529
6	Greenwich	680	173
7	Hillingdon	674	713
8	Bristol, City of	658	782
9	Ealing	615	351
10	Swindon	606	382

Key Facts

- Hackney has one camera for every 90 residents.
- There are more CCTV cameras in Hackney than in the whole of Wales.
- Wandsworth has more than double the number of CCTV cameras than Manchester, Liverpool and Birmingham combined.
- In the last three years Wandsworth has doubled the number of CCTV cameras it has, despite having the lowest crime rate in central London.

-

³ For additional tables please see Appendix 1.

⁴ This figure was originally stated incorrectly to be £24 per resident. On 25th February 2016 the figure was updated to show the correct amount.

Table 3: Top 10 Percentage decreases (spending)

No.	Council - Spending	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	Ceredigion	-100%	£824,041.95	£0
1	South Bucks	-100%	£173,476.79	£0
1	Forest of Dean	-100%	£144,336.98	£0
1	Craven	-100%	£123,577.00	£0
1	Copeland	-100%	£72,715.00	£0
1	Eden	-100%	£64,436.58	£0
1	Tandridge	-100%	£12,295.00	£0
1	Suffolk Coastal	-100%	£8,969.00	£0
1	Rushcliffe	-100%	£2,028.20	£0
2	Bradford	-99.4%	£3,607,300.00	£21,671
3	Oadby and Wigston	-99.0%	£24,245.95	£240
4	Scarborough	-98.9%	£3,312,406.00	£37,000
5	East Lothian	-97.8%	£2,851,106.00	£62,290.84
6	Wokingham	-97.7%	£259,616.00	£6,000
7	Nottingham	-96.9%	£4,666,827.83	£146,534.84
8	Richmondshire	-96.1%	£406,947.00	£15,840
9	Gateshead	-96.0%	£1,571,353.91	£62,508.72
10	Northamptonshire	-95.5%	£1,147,493.97	£52,000

Table 4: Top 10 Percentage increases (cameras)

No.	Council - Spending	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	West Dunbartonshire	N/A	£0.00	£349,928
2	Arun	16799.6%	£250	£42,249
3	Mid Sussex	9137.0%	£462.00	£42,675
4	Renfrewshire	5428.5%	£7,736.23	£427,700
5	Devon	5115.7%	£7,405.00	£386,224
6	Hertfordshire	4088.0%	£24,275.00	£1,016,632
7	Cornwall	1769.4%	£53,174.00	£994,046
8	Cardiff	844.1%	£242,680.00	£2,291,125
9	Runnymede	708.1%	£653,583.00	£5,281,821.74
10	Dorset	678.1%	£9,321.00	£72,525.15

Table 5: Top 10 Percentage decreases (spending)

No	Council - Cameras	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	North Norfolk	-100%	48	0
1	Barrow-in-Furness	-100%	40	0
1	East Cambridgeshire	-100%	35	0
1	Allerdale	-100%	33	0
1	Ceredigion	-100%	23	0
1	East Hampshire	-100%	20	0
1	Richmondshire	-100%	12	0
1	East Northamptonshire	-100%	1	0
2	Fife	-92.8%	1420	102
3	Gloucestershire	-91.9%	333	27
4	Renfrewshire	-91.0%	420	38
5	Eilean Siar	-90.0%	210	21
6	Lambeth	-87.9%	348	42
7	Moray	-87.5%	40	5
8	Aylesbury Vale	-85.8%	183	26
9	Uttlesford	-85.7%	7	1
10	Stirling	-81.9%	182	33

Table 6: Top 10 Percentage increases (cameras)

No	Council - Cameras	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	Sandwell	N/A	0	400
1	Cornwall	N/A	0	161
1	Hartlepool	N/A	0	143
1	Broxbourne	N/A	0	136
1	St. Helens	N/A	0	124
1	Conwy	N/A	0	115
1	Winchester	N/A	0	115
1	Hampshire	N/A	0	106
1	Stockport	N/A	0	95
1	Sefton	N/A	0	90
1	Dundee City	N/A	0	87
1	Somerset	N/A	0	68
1	Cannock Chase	N/A	0	62
1	Scottish Borders	N/A	0	60
1	Cheltenham	N/A	0	57
1	Pendle	N/A	0	51
1	Hyndburn	N/A	0	47
1	Wyre	N/A	0	29
1	South Holland	N/A	0	28
1	South Cambridgeshire	N/A	0	26
1	Arun	N/A	0	19
1	Wellingborough	N/A	0	17
1	South Derbyshire	N/A	0	13
1	Rutland	N/A	0	12
1	North East Derbyshire	N/A	0	11
1	Isles of Scilly	N/A	0	6
1	Purbeck	N/A	0	2
2	Warwickshire	32400.0%	1	325
3	Hounslow	19600.0%	1	197
4	Chelmsford	7500.0%	5	380
5	Dorset	1278.6%	14	193
6	Pembrokeshire	1125.0%	4	49
7	Surrey Heath	480.0%	5	29
8	Waltham Forest	459.2%	71	397
9	Havering	443.0%	86	467
10	Warrington	297.4%	39	155

Policy Recommendations

1. Any improvement of systems to include additional capabilities such as smart technology, biometrics or linking systems must consider the increased risk to citizen's privacy. This should be clearly addressed in the business case and privacy impact assessment.

Whilst it is expected that local authorities (and indeed anyone) will carry out a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) before the installation or improvement of a camera, these PIA's should be made public and presented in an easy, accessible way. Local authorities should publish any associated documents including the proposed location and the business case for any new camera. If done properly this would engage the public, helping them to understand the purpose of each requested camera before it is installed. This improved transparency will enable people to decide for themselves whether or not CCTV in their area represents money well spent.

2. Local authorities should regularly report statistics on the number of crimes detected, investigated and solved by each camera to demonstrate its continued necessity.

It is important that the public know if the cameras in their area are doing their job properly. If a camera is not regularly achieving its stated goals (for example crime prevention), then there is very little point to its continued presence; it is a drain on resources. Local authorities should be auditing their stock of cameras at regular intervals and publishing evidence to show that each and every CCTV camera continues to be necessary.

3. A single point of contact should be created to oversee CCTV use and resolve complaints. The current oversight system is divided between the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) and the Surveillance Camera Commissioner (SCC). We believe this is confusing for the general public.

Neither organisation has responsibility for overseeing the use of all UK CCTV. This has led to a patchwork effect and isn't helpful to those seeking either advice or redress. That only one of these organisations has the necessary powers and resources to enforce the law in this area is something that requires further justification.

As cameras become more multifunctional and potentially increase their biometric capabilities, it may be that the Biometrics Commissioner becomes involved in their oversight as well. This would add further confusion. We suggest clarity is established now so that one Commissioner oversees all aspects of surveillance cameras with feed in from other relevant Commissioners as necessary.

4. A single enforceable Code of Practice which applies to all CCTV cameras should be released.

Currently there are two Codes of Practice relating to CCTV cameras, one from the Surveillance Camera Commissioner and one from the Information Commissioner. The codes are similar but not identical. The unnecessary duplication and confusion from this process could be resolved by the production of one fully enforceable Code of Practice presented by both Commissioners in conjunction with one another.

CCTV in the UK

How effective is CCTV?

The usefulness of CCTV could, at best, be described as variable. A number of studies highlighting how it can help in certain circumstances have questioned why it has been rolled out on such as wide scale.

One of the most well-known and well regarded studies is the Campbell Collaboration (2008) which brought together research from around the world. The study found that CCTV had a "modest but significant desirable effect on crime". It also noted that it was most effective when used to deter vehicle theft in car parks. Although it endorsed the continued use of CCTV it did so with the important caveat that it should be "more narrowly targeted".⁵

A report by the Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention (2007) produced similar findings. The research also found a "small (16%) but significant decrease in crime". Once again car park crime was singled out for attention; stating that the decrease was "largely driven by the effectiveness of CCTV schemes in car parks, which caused a 51% decrease in crime". It noted that in these instances the use of CCTV also came with improved "lighting, fencing and security personnel" meaning that CCTV was often being used as part of a wider strategy. The study concluded that the results were only "marginally successful" and that any future schemes should be implemented carefully, evaluated and properly followed up.⁶

The variable effectiveness of CCTV has also been noted by the Home Office who found in fourteen case studies that "The belief that CCTV alone can counter complex social problems is unrealistic in the extreme". It also noted that "at best CCTV can work alongside other measures to generate some changes, but it is no easy panacea".⁷

Big Brother Watch's Position

Big Brother Watch does not advocate the removal of all CCTV cameras. As part of a wider strategy CCTV can be beneficial, particularly when in the right place and used effectively. However, the benefits have never been fully assessed, other than the benefit to the investigation of car crime.

Without further empirical research on the use of CCTV past and present, we have no real idea of what areas of crime are reduced by the use of this technology. It should be noted that there has not been a spike in crime in the areas reporting a reduction in cameras. We understand that a number of councils are using cameras on an as needed basis, rather than installing fixed cameras.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http:/rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs05/rdsolr1505.pdf

⁵ Campbell Systematic reviews, *Effects of Closed Circuit Television Surveillance on Crime*, December 2008, p. 2

⁶ Bardon C. Welsh David P. Farrington, *Closed Circuit Television Surveillance and Crime Prevention A Systematic Review, Report prepared for The Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention*, 2007

⁷ Home Office, The impact of CCTV: fourteen case studies:

Re-deployable cameras can be placed in problem areas for a short space of time. They can then be retargeted once the issues have been resolved. Whilst we would oppose the use of these cameras as a "ring of steel" approach, the concept of targeted use in an area where crime has been proven to be rife could be seen to be more beneficial from a maintenance, cost and privacy perspective, than an expensive fixed camera surveilling everyone going about their day to day business.

Transparency

No register of CCTV cameras currently exists in the UK. This means we have no idea exactly how many cameras are present in the country. The most recent estimate, from the British Securities Industry Association, puts the number at between 4 and 6 million. Without precise numbers it is very difficult to know where cameras are located, how intrusive they can be and indeed how effective they are.⁸

Whilst there are clear problems with transparency around CCTV we note that Mid Sussex District Council recently announced a public consultation ahead of replacing their current stock of cameras. The Council invited views on the potential expansion of the scheme. They published the location of the proposed new cameras, as well as the effectiveness of the existing ones. We welcome this approach as it allows the local residents to judge for themselves whether or not they think they will benefit from the suggested scheme or not.

This kind of transparency and debate should be standard ahead of the installation of CCTV. The publication of the business case for each camera before installation would help to further inform members of the public of any plans.

After the installation of a camera its continued use must be justified both to policymakers and members of the public. Local authorities must publish information about how often cameras under their control detect criminal activity. This will ensure the camera is producing the desired effect of cutting crime and is both beneficial and cost effective.

Oversight

Currently two bodies exist to oversee the use of CCTV:

- The Surveillance Camera Commissioner (SCC), who is tasked with overseeing the use of cameras by public bodies and
- The Information Commissioner's Office (ICO), which is tasked with overseeing the use of cameras by private individuals and companies.

Both organisations produce very similar codes of practice on the best use of surveillance camera equipment. This can cause confusion for members of the public who may wish to lodge a complaint about a CCTV system but do not know who to complain to.

_

⁸Daily Telegraph, *One surveillance camera for every 11 people in Britain, says CCTV survey*, 10th July 2013: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/technology/10172298/One-surveillance-camera-for-every-11-people-in-Britain-says-CCTV-survey.html

⁹ Mid Sussex District Council, *CCTV camera upgrades for consultation*, 18th September 2015: http://www.midsussex.gov.uk/Press/10073.htm

Whilst the ICO do an excellent job, for the sake of clarity it would be beneficial for oversight to be the task of one commissioner, preferably the SCC, who can then seek support from the experienced ICO on issues of data protection and regulation.

We see great benefit in the ICO continuing to be involved in a specialist and supporting role to the SCC rather than as two separate groups working in parallel towards a very similar goal.

Future capabilities

Technology is changing rapidly and CCTV is no exception. Within a few years new kinds of camera, with improved features will become commonplace; bringing with them new opportunities and new threats.

- 1. **HD Cameras**. As this technology becomes cheaper it will allow for better quality footage and the potential for the easier identification of suspects. At the same time it will raise a host of concerns such as the use of facial recognition. Recent examples, such as Leicestershire Police's trial of the technology have shown that when badly communicated it can provoke a backlash.¹⁰
- 2. **Smart Cities**. Increasingly our urban areas are becoming more connected and one of the areas this is often evident in is CCTV. Concerns have been raised about the threat that hackers pose to CCTV systems in smart cities. This raises the potential of footage (and therefore the personal information of citizens) being accessed and stolen by malicious individuals.¹¹
- 3. **Sound and gait recognition**. New technology will allow cameras to recognise certain sounds such as breaking glass or an argument and feed this back to an operator. Another new function is the ability of software to record and recognise the way an individual walks, something that is difficult to disguise and makes it easier to identify suspects in a crowd.¹²
- 4. **Enhanced Tracking**. So called tag and track systems allow individuals to be identified and then tracked across an entire system with individual cameras sending alerts to operators whenever the target appears. ¹³

Taken together these advances will change how cameras are used and the threat they pose to privacy. Local authorities must begin to consider how they can minimise privacy concerns before they start trying to deploy these methods on our streets

¹⁰ The Register, *Download Festival face scan: You're right to be annoyed, said UK surveillance commish*, 13th July 2015: http://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/07/13/sneaky use of facial recognition at download rightly caused outcry according to blightys surveillance commish/

¹¹ Securing Smart Cities, *Does CCTV put the public at risk of cyberattack?*: http://securingsmartcities.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/CCTV research final.pdf

¹²JobSecurity, What's the Latest In CCTV Technology: http://www.jobsecurity.co.uk/blog/whats-latest-cctv-technology/

¹³ Kingston University London, *Cutting-edge advance in CCTV technology software earns industry acclaim*, 26th June 2012: http://sec.kingston.ac.uk/news/2012/cutting-edge-advance-in-cctv-software-earns-industry-acclaim/

Table 7: Total number of cameras controlled by local authorities and Total Local Authority Expenditure on CCTV¹⁴

Council	Grand Total	Total Number of Cameras	
City of London	and London Borough		
City of London	CCTV is the responsibility of City of London Police		
Barking and Dagenham	No respons	se received	
Barnet	£2,476,212	111	
Bexley	£2,812,000	261	
Brent	£2,695,000	180	
Bromley	£1,226,630	184	
Camden	£2,955,890.52	1015	
Croydon	£4,993,876	91	
Ealing	£3,585,920.64	615	
Enfield	£3,143,436.65	332	
Greenwich	£2,480,278	680	
Hackney	£1,012,000	2900	
Hammersmith and Fulham	£2,411,059 1150		
Haringey	£1,506,357.89	68	
Harrow	£860,078	166	
Havering	£211,568.48	467	
Hillingdon	£865,313	674	
Hounslow	£2,208,327.20	197	
Islington	£1,243,000	181	
Kensington and Chelsea	£904,981	58	
Kingston upon Thames	£45,000	137	
Lambeth	Information not provided	42	
Lewisham	£1,996,138	171	
Merton	Refused - cost and time		
Newham	£1,871,631	204	
Redbridge	£2,735,253.96	285	
Richmond upon Thames	£295,713	103	
Southwark	£1,783,831	305	

.

 $^{^{14}}$ In April 2015 local authorities in Northern Ireland were re-organised. The report will note the legacy authorities which merged in each instance in Table 7.

Sutton	£829,263.31	184
Tower Hamlets	£1,845,283	386
Waltham Forest	£2,695,136	397
Wandsworth ¹⁵	£997,119.81	2246
Westminster	£7,327,747.33	134
Total	£60,014,045.20	13924
E	nglish County Councils	
Buckinghamshire	£86,337	49
Cambridgeshire	£66,366	269
Cumbria	Does not own or ope	erate CCTV cameras
Derbyshire	Refused - Co	st and Time
Devon	£386,224	39
Dorset	£72,525.15	193 ¹⁶
East Sussex	Council does not emp	ploy CCTV operators
Essex	No response received	
Gloucestershire	£38,407.74	27
Hampshire	£411,482	106
Hertfordshire	£1,016,632	122
Kent	£322,600.00	131 ¹⁷
Lancashire	On-street CCTV managed by the District Councils	
Leicestershire	£133,976.55	Information not provided
Lincolnshire	No respons	se received
Norfolk	Information r	not provided
Northamptonshire	£52,000	32
North Yorkshire	CCTV is the responsi	•
North Torksinie	and Boroug	
Nottinghamshire	CCTV is the responsi	•
_	and Boroug	
Oxfordshire Somerset	£91,324	59
Sumerset	£177,000	68
Staffordshire	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils	
Suffolk	CCTV is the responsibility of the District	
-	and Borough Councils	
Surrey	CCTV is the responsibility of the District	
Warwickshire	and Borough Councils N/A 325	
vvai wicksiiife	IV/A	323

 $^{^{15}}$ Following the publication of this report Wandsworth Borough Council clarified their response: http://www.wandsworthguardian.co.uk/news/14296756.We re not being watched after all Wandsworth Council mistakenly doubles up the number of cameras in the borough/

16 At time of response an audit of the number of cameras was being undertaken.

17 For traffic monitoring purposes.

West Sussex	£128,357	292
Worcestershire		
Total	£2,983,231.14	1712
English U	nitary Authorities	
Bath and North East Somerset	Refused - Co	ost and Time
Bedford Borough	£1,000,107	298
Blackburn with Darwen	£463,889.50	73
Blackpool	£1,056,857	87
Bournemouth	£2,460,997	224
Bracknell Forest	£41,750	4
Brighton and Hove	£338,251	84
Bristol, City of	£285,789	658
Central Bedfordshire	£687,994	91
Cheshire East	£1,914,309	246 ¹⁸
Cheshire West and Chester	£2,172,251	249
Cornwall	£994,046	161
Darlington	£1,597,719	94
Derby	£1,528,000	98 ¹⁹
Durham	£1,390,928	208
East Riding of Yorkshire ²⁰	£633,270	38
Halton	£677,872	73
Hartlepool	£602,538 ²¹	143
Herefordshire	£757,716	40
Isle of Wight	Refused - commercially sensitive information	90
Kingston upon Hull, City of	£1,278,000	292
Leicester	£3,187,898.93	Information not provided
Luton	£1,158,493	141 ²²
Medway	No response received	
Middlesbrough	£1,819,000	181
Milton Keynes	£203,500	Information not provided
North East Lincolnshire	£890,209.46	145 ²³

Camera breakdown: 155 - Street and town centre cameras. 91 - Car parks.

19 Camera breakdown: 25 in streets, 7 in surface car parks and 61 for multi-storey car parks

20 Received the following payments for CCTV: 2012/13 - £46,695, 2013/14 - £45,295, 2014/15 - £45,519.

21 Total CCTV expenditure.

22 Includes 9 mobile units.

23 17 - mobile Rapid Deployable cameras.

North Lincolnshire	£1,062,880	166		
North Somerset	£1,527,000	106		
Northumberland	£542,590	60		
Nottingham	£146,534.84 214 ²⁴			
Peterborough	£1,583,768	163		
Plymouth	£668,431.67	274		
Poole	£424,188	139		
Portsmouth	£1,396,797.10	147		
Portsilloutii	CCTV is the responsi			
Reading	Valley Po			
Redcar and Cleveland	£2,281,149	251		
Rutland	£10,700	12		
Shropshire	No response received			
Slough	£1,355,625	85		
South Gloucestershire	£310,449	46 ²⁵		
Southampton	£2,460,000	335		
Southend-on-Sea	£976,790	162 ²⁶		
Stockton-on-Tees	£469,220	149		
Stoke-on-Trent	£2,758,884	384		
Swindon	£205,200	606		
Telford and Wrekin	£278,806	173 ²⁷		
Thurrock	£1,589,921	297 ²⁸		
Torbay	£1,262,477	327		
Warrington	£561,647	155 ²⁹		
West Berkshire	£647,990	40		
Wiltshire	£657,502	130		
Windsor and Maidenhead	£2,500,524	227		
Wokingham	£6,000	11		
York	£1,090,407	120		
Total	£52,372,923.10	8391		
Welsh Unitary Authorities				
Blaenau Gwent	£1,164,740	72		
Bridgend	£1,557,229	128		
Caerphilly	£2,809,311	160 ³⁰		
Cardiff	£2,291,125	325 ³¹		
Carmarthenshire	£774,999.58	87		

²⁴ 183 - Public open space (fixed) cameras, 23 - Re-deployable cameras, 8 - 3G cameras
²⁵ Camera breakdown: Fixed - 44, Mobile - 2.
²⁶ Camera breakdown: Fixed - 156, Mobile - 6
²⁷ Camera breakdown: 160 - Fixed, 13 - Mobile
²⁸ Camera breakdown: Fixed - 289, Mobile - 8
²⁹ Includes all cameras viewing public and open space.
³⁰ An additional 750 cameras do not operate from the control room (RWC and vehicle m

³⁰ An additional 759 cameras do not operate from the control room (BWC and vehicle mounted) information on these was refused.

³¹ Camera breakdown: Fixed Cameras – 314, Mobile Cameras - 11

Ceredigion	Council "no longer provide CCTV"		
Conwy	£1,667,840.82	115	
<i>y</i> Denbighshire	£1,545,427	87	
Flintshire	Information not provided	141	
Gwynedd	£849,532	71	
Isle of Anglesey	No response	e received	
Merthyr Tydfil	£542,000 ³²	90	
Monmouthshire	£223,807	Information not provided	
Neath Port Talbot	£341,186	123	
Newport	£738,147.02	39	
Pembrokeshire	£172,399	49 ³³	
Powys	Refused - cost and time		
Rhondda, Cynon, Taff	£1,669,501	406	
Swansea	£1,621,064	137 ³⁴	
The Vale of Glamorgan	£293,798	76	
Torfaen	£492,762	122	
Wrexham	£2,097,724.29	89	
Northern Irish	District Council Areas		
Antrim and Newtownabbey ³⁵	£42,110	Information not provided	
Ards and North Down ³⁶	£307,856	18	
Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon ³⁷	£98,926.16	70 ³⁸	
Belfast ³⁹	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras		
Causeway Coast and Glens ⁴⁰	£281,860	34 ⁴¹	
Derry City and Strabane ⁴²	£290,721	0	
Fermanagh and Omagh ⁴³	£126,701.86	24 ⁴⁴	
Lisburn Castlereagh ⁴⁵	No response received		

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

³² Response notes that operators are on Grade 3: £16,572-£20,253.

Response notes that operators are on Grade 3: £16,572-£20,253.

33 Haverfordwest - 19, Pembroke - 7, Pembroke Dock - 6, Milford Haven - 8, Tenby - 9

34 CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 132, Mobile - 5

35 Formerly Antrim and Newtonabbey.

36 Formerly Ards and North Down.

³⁷ Formerly Armargh City and District, Banbridge and Craigavon.
³⁸ CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 68, Mobile - 2

³⁹ Formerly Belfast City Council along with parts of Castlereagh, Lisburn and North Down.

Formerly Ballymoney, Coleraine, Limavady and Moyle.

⁴¹ CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 32, Mobile - 2.

⁴² Formerly Derry and Strabane.

⁴³ Formerly Fermanagh and Omagh.

⁴⁴ Location breakdown: Omagh Town Centre - 16, Enniskillen Town Centre - 8

⁴⁵ Formerly Lisburn and Castlreagh.

Mid and East Antrim ⁴⁶	£110,012	Information not provided
Mid-Ulster ⁴⁷	£174,000	17
Newry, Mourne and Down ⁴⁸	£381,549	41
	sh Council Areas	
Aberdeen City	CCTV is the respo	nsibility of Police
Aberdeen City	Scotl	
	CCTV is the respon	•
Aberdeenshire	Scotland and several	
_	have purchased th	
Angus	£349,328	51
Argyll and Bute	£50,000	44
Clackmannanshire	£462,225	57
Dumfries and Galloway	£105,000	59
Dundee City	£359,546.12	87 ⁴⁹
East Ayrshire	£315,265	81
East Dunbartonshire	£158,002	59 ⁵⁰
East Lothian	£62,290.84	76
East Renfrewshire	£1,544,792	65
City of Edinburgh	£4,807,383	238
Eilean Siar	£7,350	21
Falkirk	£587,000	82
Fife	£1,261,047	102
Glasgow City	Asked for clarification	•
Highland	£609,000	122
Inverclyde	£554,987	Information not provided
Midlothian	£88,965.29	64
Moray	£48,011	5
North Ayrshire	£759,642.49	141
North Lanarkshire	Information not hel and develop	•
Orkney Islands	Information not broken down - See note ⁵¹	15
Perth and Kinross	£258,230	35
Renfrewshire	£427,700	38
Scottish Borders	£122,835	60
	•	

⁴⁶ Formerly Ballymena, Larne and Carrickfergus.

⁴⁷ Formerly Magherafelt, Cookstown and Dungannon and South Tyrone.

⁴⁸ Formerly Newry and Mourne and Down.

⁴⁹ 41 used for traffic management.

⁵⁰ CCTV breakdown: Fixed Cameras - 51, Deployable Cameras - 8

⁵¹ Overall spend: 2012/13 - £782.19, 2013/14 - £5061.58.

Shetland Islands	£38,981.67	182
South Ayrshire	£335,300	80 ⁵²
South Lanarkshire	£1,409,801	98
Stirling	£720,757	33
West Dunbartonshire	£349,928	112
West Lothian	CCTV is the respo	nsibility of Police
west Lothian	Scotl	and
Total	£38,459,696.20	4528
	Metropolitan Councils	
Barnsley	£199,223.30	43
Birmingham	£5,598,168	422
	Town Centre CCTV	
Bolton	provided by	225
DOILUII	National Car Parks	223
	Ltd	
Bradford	£21,671	283 ⁵³
Bury	£253,320	83
Calderdale	£291,000	65
Coventry	Refused - Co	st and Time
Doncaster	£2,387,908.11	124
Dudley	£510,000	52
Gateshead	£62,508.72	66
Kirklees	£1,255,159	167
Knowsley	£1,284,472	100
Leeds	Refused - Co	
Liverpool	£1,758,166.59	352 ⁵⁴
Manchester	£2,039,293	263
Newcastle upon Tyne	£288,306.53	76
North Tyneside	£1,876,000	Refused cost and time
Oldham	£1,225,050.49	82
Rochdale	£737,754	80
Rotherham	£574,721	86
Salford	£375,031	130
Sandwell	N/A	400
Sefton	£360,000	90
Sheffield	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities	159

⁵² CCTV breakdown: Fixed Cameras - 73, Mobile -7.
53 CCTV breakdown: On-Street Cameras: 239, Traffic Cameras: 44
54 CCTV control breakdown: City Watch - 288, Highways - 55, Localities - 9

Wolverhampton Total £29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Information not held in a read format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibile Nottinghamshire County	135 85 124 95 69 100 126 177 90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
St. Helens £623,540.25 Stockport £1,009,000 Sunderland £259,423 Tameside £744,990 Trafford £1,067,881 Wakefield £1,812,225 Walsall £1,464,108.30 Wigan £296,316 Wirral £450,392.8 Wolverhampton £291,600 Total £29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Adur Information not held in a read format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 Ashfield CCTV is the responsibiling Nottinghamshire Country	124 95 69 100 126 177 90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Stockport Sunderland £259,423 Tameside £744,990 Trafford £1,067,881 Wakefield £1,812,225 Walsall £1,464,108.30 Wigan £296,316 Wirral £450,392.8 Wolverhampton £291,600 Total £29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Adur Allerdale Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibile Nottinghamshire County	95 69 100 126 177 90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Tameside £744,990 Trafford £1,067,881 Wakefield £1,812,225 Walsall £1,464,108.30 Wigan £296,316 Wirral £450,392.8 Wolverhampton £291,600 Total £29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Adur format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibil Nottinghamshire County	69 100 126 177 90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Tameside f.744,990 Trafford f.1,067,881 Wakefield f.1,812,225 Walsall f.1,464,108.30 Wigan f.296,316 Wirral f.450,392.8 Wolverhampton Total f.29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Information not held in a read format Allerdale f.95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ f.361,177.38 Arun f.42,249 CCTV is the responsibily Nottinghamshire County	100 126 177 90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Trafford £1,067,881 Wakefield £1,812,225 Walsall £1,464,108.30 Wigan £296,316 Wirral £450,392.8 Wolverhampton £291,600 Total £29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Adur Information not held in a read format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibili Nottinghamshire County	126 177 90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Wakefield £1,812,225 Walsall £1,464,108.30 Wigan £296,316 Wirral £450,392.8 Wolverhampton £291,600 Total £29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Adur Information not held in a read format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibily Nottinghamshire County	177 90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Walsall F1,464,108.30 Wigan F296,316 Wirral F450,392.8 Wolverhampton F291,600 Total F129,790,201.54 F1361,177.38 Arun F42,249 CCTV is the responsibil Nottinghamshire County	90 547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Wigan £296,316 Wirral £450,392.8 Wolverhampton £291,600 Total £29,790,201.54 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Adur Information not held in a read format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibily Nottinghamshire County	547 129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Wirral ### F450,392.8 Wolverhampton ### F291,600 Total ### Final English Non-Metropolitan Districts #### Information not held in a read format #### Allerdale ###################################	129 ⁵⁵ 131 5090						
Wolverhampton Total £291,600 English Non-Metropolitan Districts Information not held in a read format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibile Nottinghamshire County	131 5090						
Total English Non-Metropolitan Districts Information not held in a read format Allerdale Amber Valley ⁵⁶ Arun E42,249 CCTV is the responsibil Nottinghamshire County	5090						
Adur Information not held in a read format Allerdale Amber Valley ⁵⁶ Arun Ashfield English Non-Metropolitan Districts Information not held in a read format £95,733 £361,177.38 CCTV is the responsibility Nottinghamshire County							
Adur Information not held in a read format Allerdale Amber Valley ⁵⁶ Arun Ashfield Information not held in a read format £95,733 £361,177.38 CCTV is the responsibility Nottinghamshire County	dily available						
Adur format Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibil Nottinghamshire County	dily available						
Allerdale £95,733 Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibility Nottinghamshire County	Information not held in a readily available						
Amber Valley ⁵⁶ £361,177.38 Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibil Nottinghamshire County							
Arun £42,249 CCTV is the responsibil Nottinghamshire County	0						
Ashfield CCTV is the responsibil Nottinghamshire County	68						
Nottinghamshire County	19						
-	•						
Ashford Refused - Cost and to							
Aylesbury Vale £609,873	26						
Babergh £56,895	24						
Barrow-in-Furness £154,170	0						
Basildon £137,208.37	106						
Basingstoke and Deane £234,390.54	72 ⁵⁷						
Bassetlaw £958,620	52						
Riahv £10 /00	mation not rovided						
Bolsover £63,656	51						
Boston £844,429	70						
Braintree £120,000	21						
Breckland £240,262	50						
Brentwood £837,817	89						
Broadland Council has no public faci	Council has no public facing CCTV						
Bromsgrove ⁵⁸ £111,153.40 ⁵⁹	ng CCTV						
Broxbourne £302,000	ng CCTV 91						
Broxtowe £376,299							

⁵⁵ CCTV type breakdown: 112 mainstream fibred CCTV cameras, 17 3G CCTV mobile units.
66 Reviewing CCTV position at time of response.
67 CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 71 Mobile - 1

⁵⁸ Bromsgrove Council manage Wyre Forest District Council's CCTV, additional payment received: 2012/2013 - £46,656 2013/2014 - £47,643 2014/2015 - £46,285.

⁵⁹ CCTV scheme managed by Redditch Borough Council, additional payments: 2012/2013 - £170,742, 2013/2014 -£155,141, 2014/2015 - £236,911.

Burnley	£526,000	89 ⁶⁰
Cambridge	£2,457,000	See Huntingdonshire - CCTV Partnership
Cannock Chase	£1,240,535.23	62
Canterbury	£2,470,242.36	336
Carlisle	No response receive	ed
Castle Point	£16,124	26
Charnwood	£1,409,064.82	186
Chelmsford	£1,975,699	380
Cheltenham	449,489.23	57
Cherwell	£489,000	74
Chesterfield	£1,782,726	120
Chichester	£815,513	63
Chiltern	No response receive	ed
Chorley	£358,691.67	62
Christchurch	£935,000	41
Colchester	£1,225,954	89 ⁶¹
Copeland	involvement with (last two years" - Cu	n Council have had no CCTV systems for the Imbria Constabulary's Insibility
Copeland	involvement with (last two years" - Cu	CCTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's
•	involvement with (last two years" - Cu respo £711,281 CCTV is the respon	CCTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's insibility
Corby	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu respo £711,281 CCTV is the respon Town No CCTV - decommi	CCTV systems for the ambria Constabulary's ensibility 116 sibility of Cirencester
Corby	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu respo £711,281 CCTV is the respon Town No CCTV - decommi	ccTV systems for the ambria Constabulary's ensibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October
Corby Cotswold Craven	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu respo £711,281 CCTV is the respon Town No CCTV - decommi	cCTV systems for the ambria Constabulary's ensibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley	involvement with 0 last two years" - Curespo £711,281 CCTV is the respon Town No CCTV - decomming 2 £199,760.99	ccTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's insibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley Dacorum	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu responsive for the responsive	ccTV systems for the ambria Constabulary's insibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not provided
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley Dacorum Dartford	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu responsive for the responsive	ccTV systems for the ambria Constabulary's ensibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not provided 60 ⁶² nsibility of Daventry
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley Dacorum Dartford Daventry	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu respo £711,281 CCTV is the respon Town No CCTV - decommi 2 £199,760.99 £3,441,016 £1,077,295 CCTV is the respon Town	ccTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's insibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not provided 60 ⁶² nsibility of Daventry Council
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley Dacorum Dartford Daventry Derbyshire Dales	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu responsive for the responsive	CCTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's insibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not provided 60 ⁶² insibility of Daventry Council 0
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley Dacorum Dartford Daventry Derbyshire Dales Dover	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu responsive for the responsive for form for form for the responsive for the responsive form for form for form for the responsive form for form for form for form for the responsive form for	CCTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's insibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not provided 60 ⁶² nsibility of Daventry Council 0 46 0 ⁶³
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley Dacorum Dartford Daventry Derbyshire Dales Dover East Cambridgeshire	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu responsive for the responsive	CCTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's insibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not provided 60 ⁶² nsibility of Daventry Council 0 46 0 ⁶³
Corby Cotswold Craven Crawley Dacorum Dartford Daventry Derbyshire Dales Dover East Cambridgeshire East Devon	involvement with 0 last two years" - Cu responsive for the responsive	CCTV systems for the imbria Constabulary's insibility 116 sibility of Cirencester Council issioned as of October 010 83 Information not provided 60 ⁶² nsibility of Daventry Council 0 46 0 ⁶³

⁶⁰ CCTV breakdown: Monitored - 77 Un-monitored - 12

 ⁶² Includes 4 rapid deployment cameras.
 63 High Street CCTV transferred to City of Ely Council.

East Lindsey	£688,611	98			
East Northamptonshire	£144,661	0			
East Staffordshire	£550,756.16	45			
Eastbourne	£11,244.87	N/A - Operated by Sussex Police			
Eastleigh	£465,034	136			
Eden	Does not own or operate CCTV came				
Elmbridge	£257,000 51				
Epping Forest	£388,058	477			
Epsom and Ewell	£214,372.93	20			
Erewash	£96,148.72 77 ⁶⁴				
Exeter	£1,886,936	246			
Fareham	£474,300	42			
Fenland	£648,765	71			
Forest Heath	£383,339.15	24			
Forest of Dean	The council has	no CCTV cameras			
Fylde	£157,141	29 ⁶⁵			
Gedling	£262,860	64			
Gloucester	£227,354.51	73 ⁶⁶			
Gosport	£111,333	37			
Gravesham	£696,730	52 ⁶⁷			
Great Yarmouth	Council does not control or maintain an public facing CCTV cameras. All public CCTV cameras are controlled by Community Safety (Great Yarmouth) Lt (CCTV Co).				
Guildford	£317,227	227 ⁶⁸			
Hambleton	£377,352	49			
Harborough	£153,137	18			
Harlow	£55,225	72			
Harrogate	£614,333	69			
Hart	·	sibility of Rushmoor h Council			
Hastings	£717,038	91 ⁶⁹			
Havant	£462,000	46			

⁶⁴ CCTV breakdown: 73 - Fixed and 4 - Mobile.
65 Includes 2 CCTV vans.
66 CCTV breakdown: 58 - Fixed Cameras 15 - Mobile Cameras.
67 CCTV breakdown: Permanent - 47, Rapid deployment - 5.
68 CCTV use breakdown: Town Centre CCTV - 58 Car Park CCTV - 169.

 $^{^{69}}$ 29 cameras in the I-Witness network - shared between HBC and the Police.

I.						
Hertsmere	Member of Hertfordshire CCTV Partnership - cameras operated by Stevenage Borough Council	23				
High Peak	£357,091.05	36				
Hinckley and Bosworth	£112,922	28 ⁷⁰				
Horsham	£102,231	43				
Huntingdonshire	£944,342	144				
Hyndburn	£83,716	47				
Ipswich	£2,884,695	228				
Isles of Scilly	£437	6				
Kettering	£564,097	53				
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	£1,082,325	65				
Lancaster	£571,078	36				
Lewes	No public facing CCTV cameras					
Lichfield	£377,053	107				
Lincoln	£1,633,442	130				
Maidstone	£727,500	145 ⁷¹				
Maldon	£30,891	67 ⁷²				
Malvern Hills	£15,134	10 ⁷³				
Mansfield	£341,689	124				
Melton	£62,685.20	16				
Mendip	£299,642	61				
Mid Devon	£34,402.18	16				
Mid Suffolk	See Babergh District Co	ouncil's Response				
Mid Sussex	£42,675	24				
Mole Valley	£139,324	36				
New Forest	£581,724.80	58				
Newark and Sherwood	£892,346.27	90 ⁷⁴				
Newcastle-under-Lyme	£164,300	16				
North Devon ⁷⁵	£699,874.49	25				
North Dorset	CCTV is the responsi County Co	•				

⁷⁰ CCTV breakdown: Public CCTV - 25, Mobile Cameras – 3.
71 CCTV Breakdown: 112 - Fixed Cameras, 33 Mobile Cameras.
72 Includes main council office building.
73 CCTV breakdown: 5 - Mobile Lamppost units, 5 - Covert Cameras.
74 Additional cameras outside the district monitored as part of shared service with another authority.

⁷⁵ Council also monitors cameras on behalf of the following: Devon County Council: 9, Green Lanes: 37, Park School 12, Commercial: 29. This yields and income of £21,800 per annum.

North East Derbyshire	£74,150.70	11 ⁷⁶			
North Hertfordshire	£434,173.93	46			
North Kesteven	£196,906.94	9			
North Norfolk	£446,516.59	0 ⁷⁷			
North Warwickshire	£614,106	22			
North West Leicestershire	£350,944	35 ⁷⁸			
Northampton	£0	Information not broken down			
Norwich	£1,305,343	142			
Nuneaton and Bedworth	£1,528,556.36	114			
Oadby and Wigston	£240	2			
Oxford	£437,104	45			
Pendle	£423,181.74	51			
Preston	£451,500	107 ⁷⁹			
Purbeck	£3,708	2			
Redditch	£811,267	48			
Reigate and Banstead	£321,000	122			
Ribble Valley	£262,915	30 ⁸⁰			
Richmondshire	£15,840	0			
Rochford	Does not own or ope	erate CCTV cameras			
Rossendale	£32,058	25			
Rother	£72,034	10 ⁸¹			
Rugby	£733,690	Information not provided			
Runnymede ⁸²	£5,281,821.74	365			
Rushcliffe	£0	25			
Rushmoor	£2,006,530	115 ⁸³			
Ryedale	CCTV is the Responsibility of North Yorkshire Police				
Scarborough	£37,000	61			
Sedgemoor	£1,684,611 ⁸⁴	147 ⁸⁵			
Selby	£119,432	11			
Sevenoaks	£724,609	96			

https://www.runnymede.gov.uk/cctvreport 83 Shared with Hart District Council.

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

⁷⁶ All mobile.
77 Cameras transferred to Town Councils at the end of March 2014.
78 24 Fixed and 1 CCTV Van.

⁷⁹ CCTV breakdown - 106 Fixed, 1 Mobile.

Roll Cameras "offered" by Profile Security Services Ltd.
Roll Operated by Sussex Police.

Following the publication of this report Runnymede Borough Council clarified their response:

⁸⁴ Response notes an annual CCTV income: 2012/13: £212,219 2013/14: £208,325, 2014/15: £202,667

⁸⁵ Also carries out CCTV coverage for Taunton Deane Borough Council.

Shepway	CCTV is the responsibility of Folkestone Town Council						
South Bucks	No CCTV - Camera	as decommissioned					
South Cambridgeshire	£860	26					
South Derbyshire	£12,654	13 ⁸⁶					
South Hams	No respon	se received					
South Holland	£97,576.41	28					
South Kesteven	£1,179,906	149					
South Lakeland	Informatio	n not held					
South Norfolk	£0	0					
South Northamptonshire		CCTV is the responsibility Northamptonshire County Council					
South Oxfordshire	£433,255.52	61					
South Ribble	£46,526.25	10					
South Somerset	£296,500	26					
South Staffordshire	£523,800	32					
Spelthorne	£480,900	67					
St Albans	£1,048,715.32	207					
St Edmundsbury	£1,468,172.96	101					
Stafford	£275,364	Information not provided					
Staffordshire Moorlands	£502,756.19	40					
Stevenage	£284,000	62					
Stratford-on-Avon	£560,335	91					
Stroud	£33,498.32	120					
Suffolk Coastal	•	ated by, or on behalf lk Coastal					
Surrey Heath	£74,544	29					
Swale	£780,490.05	84					
Tamworth	Information cannot be broken down between public space and non- public space CCTV	78 ⁸⁷					
Tandridge	£0	16					
Taunton Deane	£680,774.78	N/A - CCTV managed by					
		Sedgemore District Council					

 $^{^{86}}$ Police have access to 7 of the cameras - no cost associated. 87 As of 18th October 2012.

I.								
	No information							
	held - CCTV							
Teignbridge	responsibility							
. c.g.ibriage	transferred to a							
	local community							
	group in 2012							
Tendring	£71,723.30	Information not provided						
Test Valley	£77,077	16						
Tewkesbury	£3,600	12						
Thanet	£890,610	94						
Three Rivers	£56,247.86	56 ⁸⁸						
Tonbridge and Malling	£585,000	159						
Torridge	£672,024.51	18						
Tunbridge Wells	£673,629	38						
Uttlesford	£42,673	1						
Vale of White Horse	£460,936.68	29						
Warwick	£1,871,998	190						
Watford	£1,388,030	92						
Waveney	£152,400	61						
Waverley	£6,659.90	4						
	CCTV is the responsibility of Sussex Police							
Wealden	- some town and parish councils may							
	contribute to the cost							
Wellingborough	£172,021	17						
Welwyn Hatfield	£665,138	41						
West Devon	No respons							
West Dorset ⁸⁹	£93,053	See Weymouth's response						
West Lancashire	£1,544,964.18	95						
West Lindsey	£267,653.71	24						
West Oxfordshire								
West Somerset	£151,591	Information not provided						
Weymouth and Portland	£1,356,404	113						
Winchester	£552,000	115						
Woking	£890,776	691						
Worcester	£211,500	68						
Worthing	See Adur's	response						
Wychavon	£451,800	32 ⁹⁰						
Wycombe	£1,445,073	106						

 ⁸⁸ CCTV control: Local Authority: 51, Community Safety Partnership: 5.
 89 Also provides funding towards the monitoring/maintenance costs of the Dorchester and Bridport schemes as well as two town councils. $^{\rm 90}$ An additional 68 fixed cameras are monitored for Worcester City Council.

Wyre	£122,735.13	29
Wyre Forest	£212,150.26	17
Total	£93,459,902.42	11639

Table 8: Local Authority Expenditure on Installation, Operation and Maintenance

Council	Total	Spend - Inst (Fixed)	allation	Total	Total	Spend - Ins (Mobile)		Total	•	end - Operat ntenance (Fix		Total	•	end - Opera tenance (M		Total
	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015		2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015		2012-2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015		2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	
						City	of London	and Londo	n boroughs	;						
City of London							CCTV is the	e responsibili	ty of City of Lo	ndon Police						
Barking and Dagenham									No response	received						
Barnet				Information n	ot provide	ed			£869,750	£717,508	£888,954	£2,476,21 2	£0	£0	£0	£0
Bexley			Information	not provided	not provided - commercially sensitive			£929,500	£935,200	£869,900	£2,734,60 0	£37,00 0	£7,000	£33,40 0	£77,400	
Brent	£757,00 0	£500,00 0	£400,000	£1,657,00 0	£12,00 0	£12,00 0	£48,000	£72,000	Informat	ion combined	l with installa	tion costs	£0	£0	£0	£0
Bromley	£0	£26,000	£0	£26,000	I	nformation	not broken d	own	£132,110	£132,110	£132,110	£396,330	£11,95 0	£11,95 0	£11,95 0	£35,850
Camden	£63,049 .35	£1,995	£73,374. 28	£138,418. 63	I	nformation	not broken d	own	£268,865. 64	£787,763 .86	£682,282 .91	£1,738,91 2.41	Info	ormation no	ot broken d	own
Croydon	£0	£471,50 0	£256,539	£728,039	£0	£0	£0	£0	£1,554,62 7	£1,405,7 88	£1,305,4 22	£4,265,83 7	£0	£0	£0	£0
Ealing	£192,10	£227,77	£42,223.	£462,110.	Inform	nation not b	roken down -	- see fixed	£348,527.	£1,090,0	£851,705	£2,290,24	Informat	ion not bro	ken down -	- see fixed
Laming	9.28	7.07	95	30			total		21	07.94	.43	0.58			tal	
Enfield	£326,35 6.44	£567,33 7.80	£1,106,2 69.01	£1,999,96 3.25	Inform		roken down - total	see fixed	£320,404	£354,064	£352,248	£1,026,71 6	£38,76 5.40	£23,08 4	£54,90 8	£116,75 7.40
Greenwich	£0	£188,03 8	£0	£188,038	£0	£0	£0	£0	£60,439	£43,786	£77,187	£181,412	£0	£0	£0	£0
Hackney	£40,000	£89,000	£287,000	£416,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£205,000	£191,000	£200,000	£596,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Hammersmi th and Fulham	£377,27 8	£378,94 6	£221,932	£978,156	£0	£0	£0	£0	£111,509	£85,173	£59,148	£255,830	£0	£0	£0	£0
Haringey	£12,935	£11,353	£11,353	£35,641	Co	uncil does n	ot use Mobile	e CCTV	£282,179. 72	£283,446 .06	£240,878 .11	£806,503. 89	Coun	cil does not	use Mobile	e CCTV
Harrow								No respo	nse received							
Havering	£0	£56,055	£80,513.	£136,568.					Included	l in installatio	n costs					£136,56

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

			48	48												8.48
Hillingdon	£0	£0	£11,800	£11,800	£0	£12,47	£0	£12,475	£150,925	£138,382	£134,708	£424,015	£335	£0	£0	£335
Hounslow	£45,631 .57	£84,107 .99	£102,899	£232,638. 56	£57,47 2.28	£2,863	£8,335	£68,670	£132,000	£132,000	£132,000	£396,000	Inforr		broken dow ous total	n - see
Islington	£0	£0	£0	£0	£70,00 0	£0	£0	£70,000	£96,000	£96,000	£96,000	£288,000	Informati dowi			
Kensington and Chelsea	£0	£0	£84,579	£84,579	£0	£0	£0	£0	£155,071	£141,717	£85,344	£382,132	£0	£0	£0	£0
Kingston upon Thames	£45,000	£0	£0	£45,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	services,	support to pro	emises securi	CCTV budget i ty functions, c rt and moving	ar parking	nelp centre	response, c	ar park
Lambeth								Information	not provided							
Lewisham	Informa tion cannot be provide d	and a refi has l	ure on spares t of recording been included tion and main section	g equipment d in the	Information not broken down				Informatio n cannot be provided	£568,745	745 £452,393 £1,021,13 Information not broken down - so 8 total				see fixed	
Merton								Refused - o	ost and time							
Newham	£197,89 1	£175,14 7	£215,139	£588,177	No	t currently	using mobile	CCTV	£185,595	£115,965	£119,358	£420,918	Not currently using mobile CCTV			
Redbridge	£14,808 .00	£326,14 0.98	£103,309 .09	£444,258. 07	£8,289. 00	£7,891. 00	£8,089.00	£24,269	£193,129. 80	£199,008 .32	£210,688 .87	£602,826. 99	£1,040. 00	£2,000. 00	£1,500. 00	£4,540
Richmond upon Thames	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£37,000	£37,000	£37,000	£111,000	£2,125	£2,125	£2,125	£6,375
Southwark	£57,944	£241,46 0	£116,051	£415,455	Informa	tion not br	oken down	£0	£114,719	£105,018	£288,145	£507,882	£0	£0	£0	£0
Sutton	£0	£12,342	£470,996 .25	£483,338. 25	£3,760	£5,555. 28	£3,980	£13,295. 28	£155,540. 79	£71,697. 31	£105,391 .68	£332,629. 78	Inform	ation cann	ot be broker	n down
Tower Hamlets	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£153,015	£120,293	£54,950	£328,258	Informat		be broken d I costs	own - see
Waltham Forest	£431,69 6	£316,41 7	£358,823	£1,106,93 6	Informa	tion not br	oken down	£0	£566,000	£515,400	£506,800	£1,588,20 0	Informat	ion not bro	ken down	£0
Wandswort h	£28,877	£0	£0	£28,877	£14,00 0	£0.00	£0	£14,000	£237,862	£258,542	£293,410	£789,814	£0	£0	£0	£0
Westminste r	£636,14 9.11	£33,149 .11	£33,149. 11	£702,447. 33	Inform		roken down - total	see fixed	£2,032,00 0	£1,734,0 00	£1,450,0 00	£5,216,00 0	Informat		be broken d I total	own - see
Total				£10,909,4				£274,709				£29,177,4				£241,25

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk 55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

Part					40.87				.28				07.65				7.40
## Part								English	County Cour	cils							
Cumbria		£0	£45,000	£23,000	£68,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£7,013	£8,208	£3,116	£18,337	£0	£0	£0	£0
Purply-link		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£8,289	£32,277	£25,800	£66,366	£0	£0	£0	£0
Devon £20,346 £11,720 £34,766 £0 £0 £0 £113,243 £116,888 £121,327 £35,1488 £0 £0 £0 £0 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,200.5 £18,2	Cumbria							Does	not own or o	erate CCTV ca	meras						
Dorset £0 £0 £16,074, 59 £16,074, 59 £0 £0 £0 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £17,133.5 £13,400.5 £2,800 £2,250 £0 £5,950 East Sussex TOTAL IN THE PROPER TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Derbyshire								Refused - C	ost and Time							
Figure F	Devon	£20,346	£11,720	£2,700	£34,766	£0	£0	£0	£0	£113,243	£116,888	£121,327	£351,458	£0	£0	£0	£0
Facing F	Dorset	£0	£0	•	•	£0	£0	£0	£0	•	•	,	•	£2,800	£2,250	£0	£5,050
File	East Sussex						ССТ	V is the respo	onsibility of th	e District and	Borough Cou	ncils					
Hampshire E82,396 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £	Essex								No respor	se received							
Hertfordshire Farage Far		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	•	•	•	•	Informat	ion not brok	en down	£0
Ref F82,396 F10 F10 F82,396 F10 F10 F82,396 F10	Hampshire	£1/17 215 £88 080 £88 080 £76/1 267												- please			
Lancashire Licestershi 632,177 64,723 86 50 69 7.86 7.86 7.86 86 8107,397 7.86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 8		£82,396	£0	£0	£82,396		,	£0	£934,236		Informa	tion cannot b	e broken dowi	n - part of a	larger cont	ract	
Color Fig.	Kent	£76,500	£49,500	£54,000	£180,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£46,000	£47,800	£48,800	£142,600	£0	£0	£0	£0
No feet	Lancashire							On-street	CCTV manage	d by the Distri	ct Councils						
Norfolk Information provided Northampto nshire F10,000 £0 £0 £10,000 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0		•	•	•	•	•	N/A	£10,171	-			See Leice	ester City Cour	ncil's respor	ise		
Northampto shire \$\frac{1}{10,000} \frac{1}{10,000} \fr	Lincolnshire								No respor	se received							
nshire £10,000 £0 £10,000 £0 £0 £0 £0 £11,000 £17,400 £13,600 £42,000 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0	Norfolk								Information	not provided							
Yorkshire CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils Nottingham shire CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils Oxfordshire £0 £13,840 £0 £13,840 £10,99 £0 £10,999 £19,839 £21,721 £22,845 £64,405 £765 £520 £795 £2,080		£10,000	£0	£0	£10,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£11,000	£17,400	£13,600	£42,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Shire CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils Oxfordshire £0 £13,840 £0 £13,840 \$\frac{£10,99}{9}\$ £0 £0 £10,999 £19,839 £21,721 £22,845 £64,405 £765 £520 £795 £2,080							ССТ	V is the respo	onsibility of th	e District and	Borough Cou	ncils					
Oxfordshire to f13,840 to f13,840 g to f0 f10,839 f21,721 f22,845 f04,405 f765 f520 f795 f2,080	_						ССТ	V is the respo	onsibility of th	e District and	Borough Cou	ncils					
Somerset £15,000 £25,000 £10,000 £50,000 £0 £0 £0 £0 £44,500 £47,000 £35,500 £127,000 £0 £0 £0	Oxfordshire	£0	£13,840	£0	£13,840		£0	£0	£10,999	£19,839	£21,721	£22,845	£64,405	£765	£520	£795	£2,080
	Somerset	£15,000	£25,000	£10,000	£50,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£44,500	£47,000	£35,500	£127,000	£0	£0	£0	£0

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk 55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

Staffordshir						CCT	V is the respe	ncibility of th	o District and	Dorough Cou	maila					
е								·	e District and							
Suffolk						ССТ	V is the respo	nsibility of th	e District and	Borough Cou	ncils					
Surrey						ССТ	V is the respo	nsibility of th	e District and	Borough Cou	ncils					
Warwickshi re								Refused: C	ost and Time							
West Sussex	£27,819	£40,291	£34,275	£102,385	£0	£0	£0	£0	£5,302	£1,650	£1,650	£8,602	£4,800	£5,470	£7,100	£17,370
Worcesters hire								No respor	ise received							
Total				£812,074. 28				£971,813 .86				£1,174,84 3.00				£24,500
							English U	nitary Autho	rities							
Bath and North East Somerset								Refused - C	ost and Time							
Bedford Borough	£27,804	£27,329	£60,705	£115,838	£1,015	£17,87 0	£11,640	£30,525	£286,190	£284,950	£281,734	£852,874	£0	£230	£640	£870
Blackburn with Darwen	£983.36	£32,316 .80	£26,955. 03	£60,255.1 9	£0	£0	£0	£0	£125,603.	£139,796 .95	£136,934 .02	£402,334. 31	£0	£0	£1,300	£1,300
Blackpool	£216,12 6	£0	£0	£216,126	£0	£0	£0	£0	£294,017	£227,995	£193,430	£715,442	£0	£0	£0	£0
Bournemou th	£8,526	£0	£36,623	£45,149	£18,44 6	£7,013	£0	£25,459	£506,014	£500,273	491,485	1,497,772	£12,53 6	£15,08 5	£6,228	£33,849
Bracknell Forest				Information n	ot provide	d			£850	£16,400	£20,500	£37,750	£0	£0	£4,000	£4,000
Brighton and Hove	£30,673 .80	£849.37	£11,332. 20	£42,855.3 7	£0	£0	£0	£0 ⁹¹	£109,598. 74	£93,695. 82	£92,100. 81	£295,395. 37	£0	£0	£0	£0
Bristol, City of			oken down - i maintenance		£0	£0	£0	£0	£129,565	£99,751	£56,473	£285,789	£0	£0	£0	£0
Central Bedfordshir e	£0	£0	£100,000	£100,000	£0	£0	£40,000	£40,000	£52,740	£40,627	£40,627	£133,994	£0	£0	£0	£0
Cheshire East	£2,225. 90	£15,550 .71	£7,342.5 9	£25,119.2 0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£758,603. 28	£536,956 .56	£326,431 .23	£1,621,99 1.07	£0	£0	£0	£0
Cheshire West and Chester	£195,86	£196,29 8	£126,078	£518,239	£0	£0	£0	£0	£156,750. 53	£137,405 .24	£149,425 .32	£443,581. 09	£9,809. 18	£10,51 3.87	£12,10 7.42	£32,430 .47

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk 55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

⁹¹ Authority does not operate mobile CCTV.

Cornwall	£9,509	£23,981	£423,617	£457,107	£0	£0	£0	£0	£251,012	£263,530	£7,000	£521,542	£0	£0	£0	£0
				•							<u> </u>	•				
Darlington	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£173,983	£187,161	£201,687	£562,831	£0	£0	£0	£0
Derby	£60,000	£120,00 0	£8,000	£188,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£310,000	£280,000	£250,000	£840,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Durham	£48,666	£39,427	£32,947	£121,040	£0	£0	£30,400	£30,400	£714,318	£343,789	£181,381	£1,239,48 8	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Riding of Yorkshire	£74,540	£46,209	£79,197	£199,946	£0	£0	£0	£0	£49,942	£50,811	£53,948	£154,701	£0	£0	£0	£0
Halton				£305,000					£43,057	£46,788	£67,027	£156,872	Informati	on not brol	ken down	
Hartlepool	£208,22 9	£194,72 0	£199,589	£602,538				Inforn	nation cannot I	be broken dov	wn - see fixed	installation co	osts			
Herefordshi re	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£169,476	£164,329	£156,424	£490,229	£0	£0	£0	£0
Isle of Wight	Refused - commercially sensitive information															
Kingston upon Hull, City of	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ⁹³	£426,000	£426,000	£426,000	£1,278,00 0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Leicester			See Leices	stershire Coun	try Council	's response			£732,269. 68	£788,965 .03	£776,264 .22	£2,297,49 8.93 ⁹⁴	Informati		be broken o I total	down - see
Luton	£202,50 4	£202,50 4	£202,504	£607,512			Information I	not broken d	own - see fixed	d installation (costs		£8,013	£32,16 3	£805	£40,981
Medway								No respoi	se received							
Middlesbrou gh	£61,000	£0	£0	£61,000	£25,00 0	£0	£5,000	£30,000	£351,000	£351,000	£351,000	£1,053,00 0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Milton Keynes	£50,000	£0	£0	£50,000	£6,000	£6,000	£43,000	£55,000	£12,000	£32,000	£34,500	£78,500	£10,00 0	£10,00 0	£0	£20,000
North East Lincolnshire	£12,483 .40	£2,297. 00	£5,639.0 0	£20,419.4 0	£29,00 0	£12,87 4.50	£1,761.60	£43,636. 10	£46,596.1 4	£84,645. 65	£45,497. 99	£176,739. 78	£32	£64	£1,801. 60	£1,897. 60
North Lincolnshire	£0	£52,040	£101,000	£153,040	£0	£0	£0	£0	£200,070	£212,350	£202,220	£614,640	£0	£0	£0	£0
North Somerset			£28,0	00 spent on fix	ked camera	s					£305,000	spent on fixed	cameras			
Northumber land	£0	£0	£0	£0	£750	£0	£0	£750	£179,580	£186,780	£175,480	£541,840	Info	ormation no	ot broken d	own
Nottingham	£50,093 .90	£49,889 .26	£46,560.	£146,534. 84	In	formation	not broken do	own			Informa	ation not held				

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

Total CCTV expenditure.
 Councils do not operate mobile CCTV.
 Shared cost with Leicestershire County Council.

Peterborou	£119,98	£116,32	£42,167	£278,484	£0	£0	£0	£0	£182,062	£190,050	£211,897	£584,009	£0	£0	£0	£0
gh	8	9	112,107	2270,404												
Plymouth	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£50,248.3 9	£61,851. 09	£101,776 .78	£213,876. 26	Informat	ion not bro	ken down	
Poole	£126,92 2	£4,395	£60,177	£191,494	£0	£0	£0	£0	£44,392	£29,584	£22,829	£96,805	40,673	47,523	47,693	£135,88 9
Portsmouth	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£187,387. 25	£243,776 .20	£221,407 .67	£652,571. 12	£0	£0	£0	£0
Reading							CCTV is the	eresponsibilit	y of Thames V	alley Police						
Redcar and Cleveland	£0	£13,783	£3,933	£17,716	£0	£0	£0	£0	£145,273	£160,907	£1,114,7 30	£1,420,91 0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Rutland	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£3,500	£3,600	£3,600	£10,700	£0	£0	£0	£0
Shropshire								No respon	ise received							
Slough	£27,500	£45,000	£163,933	£236,443	£12,00 0.00	£5,800. 00	£0.00	£17,800	£22,500.0 0	£22,500. 00	£22,500. 00	£67,500	£28,18 4.00	£28,18 4.00	£31,58 4.00	£87,952
South Gloucesters hire	£25,452	£37,955	£17,500	£80,907	£0	£0	£0	£0	£68,700	£72,700	£72,700	£214,100				
Southampto n	£820,00 0	£820,00 0	£820,000	£2,460,00 0					Outsour	ced - see fixe	d installation	costs				
Southend- on-Sea	£2,020	£3,470	£0	£5,490	£0	£0	£0		£76,760	£39,850	£65,210	£181,820	£690	£1,890	£3,900	£6,480
Stockton- on-Tees	£0	£34,000	£32,000	£66,000	£15,54 0	£5,620	£3,600	£24,670	£38,000	£40,000	£42,000	£120,000	£4,500	£4,800	£4,900	£14,200
Stoke-on- Trent	£45,449	£53,070	£61,986	£160,505	Informa		t be broken d note	lown - see	£574,533	£552,181	£521,533	£1,648,24 7	Informat	ion cannot l no	be broken o te ⁹⁷	down - see
Swindon					Info	ormation ca	nnot be brok	ken down - pa	aid for by the g	eneral mainto	enance budge	et				
Telford and Wrekin	£53,497	£31,704	£23,317	£108,518	£200	£11,20 0	£19,485	£30,885	£53,497	£31,704	£23,317	£108,518	£200	£11,20 0	£19,48 5	£30,885
Thurrock	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£60,000	£60,000	£60,000	£180,000	Can	not breakdo	own inform	ation
Torbay	£15,099	£8,078	£10,492	£33,669	Informa		t be broken d allation costs		£334,664	£355,944	£350,439	£1,041,04 7		ion cannot leration and		
Warrington	£12,552	£9,795. 76	£12,321	£34,669	£1,000	£400	£200	£1,600	£21,938.2 0	£400	£25,240	£47,578	£450	£250	£100	£800
West Berkshire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£207,050	£216,220	£224,720	£647,990	£0	£0	£0	£0

⁹⁵ Information broken down by calendar year: 2014 - £7,500, 2015 - £7,800.
96 This return figure is an estimated internal recharge cost for the monitoring centre which house s other council services and so is not split between fixed and mobile cameras.
97 This return figure is an estimated internal recharge cost for the monitoring centre which house s other council services and so is not split between fixed and mobile cameras.

Wiltshire	£0	£7,332	£9,800	£17,132	£0	£0	£0	£0	£63,835	£53,915	£55,043	£172,793	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Windsor and Maidenhead	£6,117	£9,127	£2,280	£17,524	£0	£0	£0	£0	£352,000	£480,000	441000 ¹⁰⁰	£1,273,00 0	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Wokingham	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£2,000	£2,000	£2,000	£6,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	
York	£99,500	£0	£38,270	£137,770	£0	£0	£0	£0	£107,637	£122,034	£130,203	£359,874	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Total				£7,882,04 0				£330,725				£25,340,1 42.93				£411,53 4	
							Welsh U	nitary Autho	rities								
Blaenau Gwent	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£504,259	£503,175	£157,306	£1,164,74 0	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Bridgend	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£354,851	£376,817	£383,661	£1,115,32 9	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Caerphilly	£0	£30,530	£5,850	£36,380	£0	£0	£0	£0	£524,147	£526,316	£514,712	£1,565,17 5	Informa	n down -			
Cardiff	£0	£0	£10,437	£10,437	£0	£11,75 0	£21,499	£33,249	£153,192	£153,192	£153,192	£459,576	£10,94 0	£0	£2,341	£13,281	
Carmarthen shire	£6,369. 90	£15,618 .00	£4,458.0 0	£26,445.9 0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£51,216.1 1	£56,410. 50	£57,137. 65	£164,764. 26	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Ceredigion							Co	uncil " <i>no long</i>	ger provide CC	TV"							
Conwy	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£252,165. 13	£229,109 .25	£166,372 .13	£674,646. 51	Informat		oken down - osts	- see fixed	
Denbighshir e	£6,570	£6,532	£6,519	£19,621	£0	£0	£0	£0	Informatio	n cannot be b co		see mobile	£300,3 48	£280,4 18	£274,0 60	£854,82 6	
Flintshire			Refus	ed - S. 43: Com	mercial I	nterests			Information not provided								
Gwynedd	£6,690	£0	£0	£6,690	£0	£0	£0	£0	£23,310	£23,310	£23,310	£69,930	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Isle of Anglesey								No respor	nse received								
Merthyr Tydfil	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£188,660	£180,720	£172,620	£542,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	
Monmouths hire	£70,000	£80,000	£73,807	£223,807	The c	ouncil make	s an annual c	ontribution t	o a shared ser	vice (this inclu	ıdes several c	ouncils and a p	oolice force	e) - See fixe	d installatio	on costs	

⁹⁸ Windsor and Maidenhead Council made the following payments – 2012/13 - £138,510, 2013/14 - £143,190, 2014/15 - £145,340

⁹⁹ Costs broken down: Staffing - Agency; 2012/13 - £205,175, 2013/14 - £93,741, 2014/15 - £95,387. Staffing - CCTV; 2012/13 - £30,062, 2013/14 - £30,038, 2014/15 - £13,174

¹⁰⁰ Response notes: "This information has been compiled by using the position numbers PES007 and PES008 which are for CCTV Operations, it is not included in the figures above and these figures do not include any agency payments as this information also falls into the category of information which we would be able to arrive at from Agresso within 18 hours, but which is not held at present in any other format than within the many transactions in Agresso".

Neath Port Talbot	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£120,460	£113,144	£107,582	£341,186	£0	£0	£0	£0
Newport	£0	£40,000	£0	£40,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	Informati on not provided	£0	lı	nformation	not provide	ed
Pembrokes hire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£71,690	£56,405	£44,304	£172,399	£0	£0	£0	£0
Powys								Refused - o	ost and time							
Rhondda, Cynon, Taff	£41,451	£41,305	£2,433	£85,189	Informa	ation cannot fixe	be broken o d total	down - see	£163,406	£98,560	£89,140	£351,106	Informat	ion not bro to	ken down - tal	- see fixed
Swansea	£0	£0	£0	£0	£7,200	£0	£0	£7,200	£536,446	£536,446	£538,172	£1,611,06 4	£700	£700	£1,400	£2,800
The Vale of Glamorgan	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£17,057	£25,647	£16,639	£59,343	£0	£0	£0	£0
Torfaen	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£2,400	£0	£2,400	£0	£0	£0	£0	£21,77 9	£41,53 0	£38,93 7	£102,24 6
Wrexham	£0	£17,118	£89,604. 88	£106,722. 88	£0	£0	£0	£0	£390,633	£384,834	£389,683	£1,165,15 0	£0	£0	£0	£0
						N	orthern Iris	h District Cou	ncil Areas							
Antrim and Newtownabbe V	£0	£16,500	£0	£16,500	£0	£0	£0	£0	£6,189	£6,349	£13,072	£25,610	£0	£0	£0	£0
Ards and North Down	£6,389	£3,238	£9,875	£19,502	£0	£0	£0	£0	£96,208	£100,358	£91,788	£288,354	£0	£0	£0	£0
Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon	£1,534	£0	£21,865. 63	£23,399.6 3	Informa	ation cannot fixe	be broken o d total	down - see	£38,632.7 0	£17,193. 97	£19,699. 86	£75,526.5 3	Informati	on cannot l fixed	be broken o I total	down - see
Belfast							Does	not own or o	oerate CCTV ca	ameras						
Causeway Coast and Glens	£0	£7,025	£7,804	£14,829	£0	£0	£0	£0	£88,820	£90,134	£88,077	£267,031	£0	£0	£0	£0
Derry City and Strabane	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£102,031	£91,380	£97,310	£290,721	£0	£0	£0	£0

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk 55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL

020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

Response notes: Includes cost of hard drives.

Cost of temporary CCTV for specific events: 2012/13: £24,531, 2013/14: £13,880, 2014/14: £19,810.

Fermanagh and Omagh	£0	£0	£158	£158 ¹⁰³	£0	£0	£0	£0	£24,661.8 6	£21,442	£23,110	£69,213.8 6	£0	£0	£0	£0
Lisburn Castlereagh								No respor	se received							
Mid and East Antrim	£0	£0	£0	£0	£4,935	£0	£0	£4,935	£28,633	£34,258	£37,202	£100,093	£498	£2,071	£2,415	£4,984
Mid-Ulster	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£58,000	£58,000	£58,000	£174,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Newry, Mourne and Down	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁰⁴	£127,183	£127,183	£127,183	£381,549	£0	£0	£0	£0
							Scottis	h Council Ar	eas							
Aberdeen City							CCTV is	the responsil	oility of Police	Scotland						
Aberdeensh ire				CCTV i	s the respo	nsibility of I	Police Scotlar	nd and severa	al town council	s that have p	urchased thei	ir own cameras	S.			
Angus	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£129,180	£86,546	£133,602	£349,328	£0	£0	£0	£0
Argyll and Bute	£6,000	£6,000	£25,000	£37,000	£3,000	£5,000	£5,000	£13,000		Info	rmation not l	broken down -	See install	ation costs		
Clackmanna nshire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£157,564	£169,148	£135,513	£462,225	Info	ormation no	ot broken do	own
Dumfries and Galloway	Unkno wn	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£35,000	£35,000	£35,000	£105,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Dundee City	£10,000	£10,000	£20,000	£40,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£112,351. 01	£115,328 .62	£91,836. 79	£319,546. 12	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Ayrshire	£4,200	£37,300	£2,665	£44,165	£0	£0	£22,700	£22,700	£78,000	£84,500	£85,900	£248,400	£0	£0	Informa tion not provide d	£0
East Dunbartons hire	Unable t	o break dow		costs - any in I and mainten		osts include	ed with the		£28,544	£23,840	£23,481	£75,865	£45,61 2	£5,000	£31,52 5	£82,137
East Lothian	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£37,790.8 4	£12,250	£12,250	£62,290.8 4	li	nformation	not provide	ed
East Renfrewshir e	£144,80 3	£110,72 0	£137,606	£393,129				Info	ormation canno	ot be broken (down - see in:	stallation costs	5			

An additional £35,065 was provided by the Department of Justice to Fermanagh District Council.
 Authority does not use mobile CCTV.
 Maintenance costs only - operational costs met by Police Scotland.

City of Edinburgh	£0	£0	£13,000	£13,000	£28,00 0	£7,000	£0	£35,000	£957,266	£933,450	£910,933	£2,801,64 9	Informati	on cannot b down	oe broken	£0
Eilean Siar	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£2,000	£3,350	£2,000	£7,350	£0	£0	£0	£0
Falkirk	£162,00 0	£194,00 0	£132,000	£488,000	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁰⁶	Informa	ation cannot b installation o		wn - see	£0	£0	£0	£0
Fife	£72,395	£72,395	£72,395	£217,185	£0	£0	£0	£0	£94,358	£94,358	£94,358	£283,074	£5,786	£4,839	£26,56 9	£37,194
Glasgow City						Big	Brother Wa	atch failed to	provide adequ	ate clarificati	on					
Highland	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁰⁹	£66,000	£66,000	£66,000	£198,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Inverclyde	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£10,00 0	£0	£10,000	£43,306	£29,076	£26,900	£99,282	£5,626	£13,22 0	£5,415	£24,261
Midlothian	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£38,288.6 6	£25,972. 89	£24,703. 74	£88,965.2 9	£0	£0	£0	£0
Moray	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£2,695	£0	£2,695	£14,000	£14,000	£14,000	£42,000 ¹¹⁰	£1,984	£771	£561	£3,316
North Ayrshire	£5,100. 00	£10,100 .00	£21,047. 29	£36,247.2 9	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0	£53,069.0 0	£64,415. 24	£55,805. 12	£173,289. 36	£8,742. 27	£9,554. 72	£6,804. 95	£25,101 .94
North Lanarkshire					Ir	formation n	ot held - CC	TV managed	and developed	l by Town Cer	ntre Activities					
Orkney Islands							Informatio	on not broker	n down - See o	verall total						
Perth and Kinross	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£133,888	£56,972	£67,370	£258,230	£0	£0	£0	£0
Renfrewshir e	£0	£0	£260,000	£260,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£70,640	£70,640	£0	£0	£0	£0
Scottish Borders	£0	£0	£24,663	£24,663		ken down - ponse to ques			£32,724	£32,724	£32,724	£98,172	£0	£0	£0	£0
Shetland Islands	£250	£1,125	£0	£1,375	£0	£0	£0	£0	£10,602.8 7	£16,861. 42	£9,976.1 4	£37,440.4 3	£83.12	£83.12	£0	£166.24
South Ayrshire	£25,000	£0	£13,000	£38,000	£0	£9,000	£6,500	£15,500	£83,000	£68,000	£93,000	£244,000	£12,60 0	£12,60 0	£12,60 0	£37,800
South Lanarkshire	£0	£7,597	£16,288	£23,885	Informa	tion not bro	ken down		£73,972	£73,972	£73,972	£221,916	Info	ormation no	ot broken d	own

Authority does not operate mobile CCTV.

107 In each case a total of £144,790 was paid 50/50 by Fife Council and the Fife Division of Police Scotland.

108 In each case a total of £188,717 was paid 50/50 by Fife Council and the Fife Division of Police Scotland.

109 Authority does not operate mobile CCTV.

110 Net amounts.

Stirling ¹¹¹				Inforr	nation can	not be brok	en down - se	e note ¹¹²				599,200	Info	own				
West Dunbartons hire	£0	£13,094	£11,834	£24,928	£20,00 0	£19,40 0	£51,487	£90,887	£81,669	£99,416	£53,028	£234,113		Information cannot broken down £0 £0 £0 art of a wider departmental budget Information cannot broken down £0 £0 £0 £10,82 7 £4,195 £6,415 £2: 7 formation not broken down - see total £0 £0 £0 Information cannot be broken down £0 £0 £0				
West Lothian							CCTV is	the responsil	oility of Police	Scotland								
Total				£2,271,25 9				£237,566				£18,208,4 83.20				£1,188, 113		
							English Me	etropolitan C	ouncils									
Barnsley	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£71,493.7 2	£67,464. 15	£60,265. 43	£199,223. 30	Infor	mation can	not broken	down		
Birmingham	Unabl	e to break d	own installat	ion costs	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹¹³	£704,473	£649,283	£665,407	£2,019,16 3	£0	£0	£0	£0		
Bolton							Town Centre	CCTV provide	ed by National	Car Parks Ltd	I							
Bradford	£0	£6,500	£15,171	£21,671	Ir	nformation r	not broken do	own	Inform	ation cannot	be broken do	wn - paid for a	for as part of a wider departmental budget Information cannot broken down					
Bury	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£128,030	£74,630	£50,660	£253,320	Information cannot broken down					
Calderdale	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£35,000	£35,000	£35,000	£105,000						
Coventry								Refused - C	ost and Time									
Doncaster	£0	£6,203. 76	£12,892. 83	£19,096.5 9	£0	£26,10 0	£0	£26,100	£469,641	£489,650	£504,592	£1,463,88 3	8 £10,82					
Dudley	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£50,000	£50,000	£50,000	£150,000	Informat			- see fixed		
Gateshead	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£36,082. 51	£26,426. 21	£62,508.7 2	£0	£0	£0	£0		
Kirklees	£318,00 0	£309,00 0	£298,000	£925,000				Inf	ormation not l	oroken down	- see fixed ins	stallation costs	i					
Knowsley	£30,695	£27,312	£2,975	£60,982 ¹¹⁴	Infor	mation can	not be broke	n down	£42,234	£51,100	£51,162	d installation costs £144,496 Information cannot be broken down						
Leeds								Refused - C	ost and Time									
Liverpool	£36,670 .50	£9,715. 34	£10,334. 75	£56,720.5 9	£0	£1,000	£3,500	£4,500	£200,554	£200,554	£200,554	£601,662	£0	£0	£0	£0		
Manchester	£35,984	£37,955	£36,238	£110,177	Informa	ation net	£11,061	£11,061	£741,231	£624,358	£552,466	£1,918,05	Informat	Information cannot broken dow £0 £0 £0 Int of a wider departmental budget Information cannot broken dow £0 £0 £0 10,82 £4,195 £6,415 £2 Information not broken down - see total £0 £0 £0 formation cannot be broken down				

¹¹¹ Part of the Forth Valley CCTV Partnership from 2012-2014 (run independently 2014-2015).
112 Combined expenditure: 2012-2013: £196,222, 2013-2014: £218,673, 2014-2015: £184,305.
113 The council does not operate mobile cameras.
114 Huyton - 2012/13: £23,705, 2013/14: £15,167, 2014/15: £2,500.
115 Huyton - 2012/13: £9,015, 2013/14: £8,007, 2014/15: £8,625.

					broken de	own - See						5		to	otal	
Newcastle upon Tyne	£15,000	£11,800	£68,162. 78	£94,962.7 8	121,20 0.00	£10,10 2.20	£9,488.30	£140,790 .50	£10,587.3	£3,239.9 4	£2,882.9 1	£16,710.1 5	£13,59 2.94	£11,48 9.10	£10,76 1.06	£35,843 .10
North Tyneside		Informat	tion not brok	en down - see	operation a	and mainte	nance costs		£69,000	£65,000	£39,000	£173,000	Inform	ation cann	ot be broke	n down
Oldham	£0	£8,500	£48,456. 34	£56,956.3 4	£0	£0	£0	£0	£58,639	£75,697. 00	£49,715. 00	£184,051	£0	£0	£0	£0
Rochdale	£200,00 0	£200,00 0	£200,000	£600,000	£48,13 3.69	£48,03 3.92	£41,5 86.60	£137,754 .21			Info	ormation not p	orovided			
Rotherham		£3	38,130		See fix		nformation ca	annot be	£184,211	£186,066	£166,314	£536,591	Informat		be broken (costs	down - see
Salford	£96,648	£48,769	£0	£145,417	Inform		roken down - total	see fixed	£59,696	£68,274	£101,644	£229,614	Informat		ken down otal	· see fixed
Sandwell	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	Informati	on cannot be	broken dowr	ı: maintenanco	e costs han	dled as part	t of a larger	budget
Sefton	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£90.00	£90,000	£90,000	£270,000	£30,00 0	£30,00 0	£30,00 0	£90,000
Sheffield	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0			Deal	t with via a PF	l Contract			
Solihull	£10,000	£1,000	£0	£11,000	£0	£19,33 1	£0	£19,331	£162,011	£140,049	£164,508	£466,568	£5,900	£5,900	£4,400	£16,200
South Tyneside	£29,859 .70	£27,536 .26	£18,215. 58	£75,611.5 4	£0	£0	£0	£0	£28,958.4 4	£28,958. 44	£26,344. 60	£84,261.4 8	£0	£0	£0	£0
St. Helens	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£8,440	£12,185	£20,625	£30,497.2 7	£36,277. 77	£46,610. 21	£113,385. 25	£0	£4,500. 00	£0	£4,500. 00
Stockport	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£318,600	£318,600	£233,600	£870,800	£26,40 0	26,400	£26,40 0	£79,200
Sunderland	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£15,50 0	£28,500	£44,000	£50,408	£49,847	£49,068	£149,323	£831	£44,01 9	£21,25 0	£66,100
Tameside	£0	£0	£0	£0	D	oes not use	mobile came	eras	£119,700	£119,700	£119,700	£359,100	Do	es not use i	mobile cam	eras
Trafford	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹¹⁶	£56,000	£56,000	£51,669	£163,669	£0	£0	£0	£0
Wakefield	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£203,758	£252,346	£220,962	£677,066	£0	£0	£0	£0
Walsall	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£147,157	£166,689	£212,953	£526,799	£1,604. 60	£8,189. 78	£5,953. 92	£15,748 .30
Wigan	£0	£47,740	£77,644	£125,384	£47,74 0	£5,000	£5,000	£57,740	£37,531	£37,525	£35,636	£110,692	£0	£1,450	£1,050	£2,500

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk 55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

¹¹⁶ Authority does not utilise mobile CCTV.

								_								_
Wirral				£15,600				£15,600	£148,762. 60	£138,378 .60	£147,651 .60	£434,792. 80	Informati	on not bro	ken down	
Wolverham pton	£65,000	£49,400	£29,500	£143,900	£0	£0	£0	£0	£50,000	£51,000	£46,700	£147,700	£0	£0	£0	£0
Total				£2,485,00 8.84				£477,502				£12,431,4 33.70				£331,52 8
							English Non-	Metropolitar	Districts							
Adur							Informatio	n not held in	a readily availa	able format						
Allerdale	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£51,000	£13,425	£9,450	£73,875	£0	£0	£0	£0
Amber Valley	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£120,392. 46	£120,392 .46	£120,392 .46	£361,177. 38	Info	rmation no	ot broken de	own
Arun		£3,079		£3,079	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹¹⁷		£39,170		£39,170 ¹¹⁸	£0	£0	£0	£0
Ashfield						ССТ	V is the resp	onsibility of N	Iottinghamshir	e County Cou	ıncil					
Ashford								Refused - C	Cost and time							
Aylesbury Vale	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£162,865	£173,281	£165,469	£501,615	£0	£0	£0	£0
Babergh	Informa tion not held	£0	£40,000	£40,000	Informa tion not held	£0	£0	£0	Informatio n not held	£9,352	£7,543	£16,895	Informa tion not held	£0	£0	£0
Barrow-in- Furness	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£64,360	£30,080	£19,480	£113,920	£0	£0	£0	£0
Basildon				£21,868.12				£21,868. 12	£48,394.9 1	£32,645. 22	£34,300. 12	£115,340. 25	Inform	ation canno	ot be broke	n down
Basingstoke and Deane	£0	£0	£1,195.0 0	£1,195.00	£0	£0	£0	£0	£78,613.7 9	£73,382	£78,269. 75	£230,265. 54	£2,030	£900	£0	£2,930
Bassetlaw	£85,000	£120,99 4	£47,919	£253,913	£0	£0	£0	£0	£288,650	£221,889	£194,168	£704,707	£0	£0	£0	£0
Blaby	£375	£2,980	£5,000	£8,355	£0	£0	£0	£0	£600	£995	£750	£2,345	£0	£0	£0	£0
Bolsover	£23,311	£13,864	£2,018	£39,193	£0	£5,316. 00	£481.40	£5,797.4 0 ¹²⁰	£5,386	£6,830.1 0	£6,339.2 5	£18,555.3 5	£0	£0	£110	£110
Boston	£0	£241,15 5	£3,951	£245,106	£0	£0	£0	£0	£78,291	£33,624	£12,438	£124,353	£0	£0	£0	£0
Braintree	£17,000	£17,000	£17,000	£51,000	Informa		oken down - total	see fixed	£23,000	£23,000	£23,000	£69,000	Informa		oken down ed costs	- please

Council does not use mobile cameras.

118 A further £24,515 has been contributed by other authorities.

119 An additional £20,000 has been contributed by the local police force and the Community Safety Partnership.

120 An additional £1,810 contribution was made by Community Safety Partnership.

Breckland	£17,506	£85,344	£137,412	£240,262	System	administer	ed by Kings L	ynn & West N	Iorfolk. Contri	butions for m installatio		ges and mainte	enance can	not be brok	en down -	see fixed
Brentwood	£0	£12,543	£37,935	£50,478	£0	£0	£0	£0	£123,925	£129,046	£103,914	£356,885	£0	£0	£0	£0
Broadland							Co	uncil has no p	ublic facing C	CTV						
Bromsgrove	£9,612. 40	£12,375	£12,831	£34,818.4 0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£25,445	£25,445	£25,445	£76,335	£0	£0	£0	£0
Broxbourne	£19,000	£11,000	£25,000	£55,000	£0	£16,00 0	£0	£16,000	£67,000	£67,000	£67,000	£201,000	£10,00 0	£10,00 0	£10,00 0	£30,000
Broxtowe	£395	£0	£3,220	£3,615	Inform		oken down - otal	see fixed	£125,762	£132,108	£114,814	£372,684	£0	£0	£0	£0
Burnley	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£128,000	£134,000	£132,000	£394,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Cambridge	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£627,000	£527,000	£623,000	£1,777,00 0	£20,00 0	£20,00 0	£20,00 0	£60,000
Cannock Chase	270,173 .95	202,112 .13	197,936. 64	£670,222. 72	£0	£0	£0	£0	£161,297. 06	£162,030 .50	£140,207 .95	£463,535. 51	£0	£0	£0	£0
Canterbury	£544,55 5.11	£535,86 5.47	£555,216 .38	£1,635,63 6.96		Pui	rchase, Oper	ation, Mainte	nance and Ins	stallation costs	s cannot be b	roken down -	see fixed in	stallation c	osts	
Carlisle								No respon	se received							
Castle Point	£630	£3,200	£5,378	£9,208	£0	£0	£0	£0	£1,021	£534	£5,361	£6,916	£0	£0	£0	£0
Charnwood	£17,476 .00	£0	£29,451. 87	£46,927.8 7	£0	£0	£0	£0	£372,691. 86 ¹²¹	£210,063 .61	£240,690 .14 ¹²²	£823,445. 61	Info	ormation n	ot broken d	own
Chelmsford	£29,053	£51,352	£7,717	£88,122	£0	£0	£0	£0	£364,063	£336,309	£294,254	£994,626	£0	£0	£0	£0
Cheltenham		£109	9,080.73		£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹²³	£126,444. 04	£109,264 .55	£104,699 .91	£340,408. 50	£0	£0	£0	£0
Cherwell	£0	£0	£0	£0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	£88,000	£88,000	£88,000	£264,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Chesterfield						Information	n cannot be l	broken down	- totals includ	e non-public f	acing CCTV					
Chichester	£0	£0	£16,540	£16,540	Inform		oken down - otal	see fixed	£234,691	£224,086	£225,560	£684,337	Informat	tion not bro	ken down - otal	see fixed
Chiltern								No respon	se received							
Chorley	£0	£29,200	£0	£29,200	£704.6 5	£0	£1,037	£1,741.6 5	£23,042.9 5	£25,120. 25	£22,965. 41	£71,128.6 1	£0	£0	£0	£0
Christchurc h	£187,00 0	£0	£0	£187,000	Inform		oken down - otal	see fixed	£168,000	£207,000	£185,000	£560,000	Informat	tion not bro to	ken down - otal	- see fixed

¹²¹ Repairs to server.
122 Replacing the server.
123 Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

Colchester	£2,735	£41,510	£7,427.0 0	£51,672.0 0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹²⁴	£410,102	£352,730	£411,450	£1,174,28 2	£0	£0	£0	£0
Copeland			"Сој	oeland Borougl	n Council h	ave had no	involvement	with CCTV sy	stems for the I	last two years	" - Cumbria C	Constabulary's	responsibili	ty		
Corby	£95,725	£2,621	£35,761	£134,107	£0	£0	£0	£0	£189,321	£208,694	£179,159	£577,174	£0	£0	£0	£0
Cotswold						(CCTV is the r	esponsibility (of Cirencester	Town Counci						
Craven							No CCTV	- decommissio	oned as of Oct	ober 2010						
Crawley	£0	£0	£4,489.9 9	£4,489.99	£0	£0	£0	£0	£65,864	£64,144	£65,263	£195,271	£0	£0	£0	£0
Dacorum	£482,91 4	£231,39 5	£20,552	£734,861	£0	£2,150	£2,200	£4,350	£524,681	£561,998	£661,310	£1,747,98 9	£1,800	£500	£500	£2,800
Dartford	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£234,723	£244,352	£226,490	£705,565	£0	£0	£0	£0
Daventry							CCTV is the	responsibility	of Daventry 1	Town Council						
Derbyshire Dales	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Dover	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£155,771	£166,226	£133,819	£455,816	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Cambridges hire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹²⁵	£61,546	£62,266	£56,000	£179,812	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Devon								No respon	se received							
East Dorset								See Christchu	rch's respons	e						
East Hampshire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Hertfordshir e	£0	£0	£0	£0	£17,09 9	£0	£800	£17,899	£89,382	£101,763	£101,764	£292,909	Informat		ken down - tal	- see fixed
East Lindsey	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£26,500	£26,500	£26,500	£79,500	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Northampto nshire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£144,661	£0	£0	£144,661	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Staffordshir e	£3,481. 48	£3,528. 28	£4,421.6 2	£11,431.3 8	£0	£0	£0	£0	£192,695. 65	£167,614 .75	£178,159 .38	£538,469. 78	£30	£825	£0	£855
Eastbourne								£11,2	244.87							

Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

Authority doesn't use of mobile CCTV.

Payment made for a wireless conversion project, one-off exit strategy payment.

Eastleigh	£6,160	£5,680	£0	£11,840	£0	£0	£0	£0	£145,519	£154,708	£152,967	£453,194	£0	£0	£0	£0
Eden	10,100	13,080		111,040					perate CCTV ca	•	1132,907	1433,134	10	10	10	10
				6257 000)t :- 2	012	Does i	lot own or o	Derate CCTV Ca	imeras	Defined	Continu 42		l:-+		
Elmbridge Epping				£257,000) spent in 2	012					Ketusea	- Section 43,		interests tion not bro	kon down	coo fixed
Forest	£9,616	£38,550	£32,100	£80,266	£7,300	£2,300	£16,198	£25,798	£24,460	£24,460	£24,460	£73,380	moma		otal	- see lixeu
Epsom and Ewell	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£73,394.4 2	£71,986, 47	£68,992. 04	£214,372. 93	Informat	tion not bro	oken down	- see note
Erewash	£0	£0	Systems Upgrade ongoing	£0	£0	£0	Systems Upgrade ongoing	£0	£38,493.3 5	£24,858. 50	£32,796. 87	£96,148.7 2	Systems	upgrade or mobile	ngoing - inc cameras	ludes four
Exeter	£31,264	£36,291	£38,209	£105,764	£0	£0	£0	£0	£171,375	£176,403	£162,579	£510,357	£0	£0	£0	£0
Fareham	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£153,800	£157,600	£162,900	£474,300	£0	£0	£0	£0
Fenland	£0	£8,360	£5,280	£13,640	£0	£0	£0	£0	£75,860	£80,554	£78,310	£234,724	£0	£0	£0	£0
Forest Heath	£101,33 1.27	£102,31 2.45	£107,695 .43	£311,339. 15				Info	ormation canno	ot be broken	down - See In	stallation cost	:S			
Forest of Dean							The	e council has	no CCTV came	eras						
Fylde	£0	£30,667	£0	£30,667	£0	£0	£0	£0	£26,441	£15,773	£31,708	£73,922	£24,58 6	£12,28 1	£15,68 5	£52,552
Gedling	£9,935	£0	£4,950	£14,885	£0	£0	£0	£0	£28,328	£33,176	£15,754	£77,258	£0	£0	£0	£0
Gloucester	£0	£0	£0	£0	£6,500	£3,265	£0	£9,765	£56,706.8 4	£73,246. 66	£71,883.	£201,836. 50	£9,041. 23	£3,385. 98	£3,325. 80	£15,753 .01
Gosport	£0	£0	£0	£0	£2,880	£1,775	£140	£4,795	£33,913	£32,980	£39,645	£106,538	£0	£0	£0	£0
Gravesham	£22,552	£25,643	£7,411	£55,606	£20,69 0	£3,668	£3,438	£27,796	£204,000	£204,664	£204,664	£613,328	Info	ormation no	ot broken d	own
Great Yarmouth		Counc	cil does not co	ontrol or main	tain any pu	blic facing (CCTV camera	s. All public C	CTV cameras a	are controlled	l by Commun	ty Safety (Gre	at Yarmout	h) Ltd (CCT	V Co).	
Guildford	£0	£0	£29,123	£29,123	£0	£0	£1,600	£1,600		Response no	tes - Included		£115,1 52	£88,82 2	£82,53 0	£286,50 4
Hambleton	£0	£9,562. 66	£0	£9,562.66	£0	£0	£0	£0	£52,679.3	£45,886. 99	£57,397. 08	£155,963. 45	£0	£0	£0	£0
Harborough	£0	£0	£12,900	£12,900	Informa		oken down = :otal	see fixed	£44,546	£39,283	£29,408	£113,237	Informat	ion not bro to	ken down : otal	see fixed
Harlow	£10,013	£10,890	£12,240	£33,143	£137	£0	£0	£137	£7,919	£7,616	£6,410	£21,945	£0	£0	£0	£0
Harrogate	£0	£4,941	£0	£4,941	£0	£0	£0	£0	£46,640	£28,380	£28,380	£103,400	£0	£0	£0	£0
Hart						C	CTV is the re	sponsibility o	f Rushmoor Bo	orough Counc	:il					

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk
55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL
020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

Hertsmere							622.20										
Hertsmer	Hastings	£0	£0	£3,200	£3,200	£0	•	£33,959	£56,339	£62,548	£61,491	£61,126	£185,165	£0	£0	£0	£0
High Peak 57 60 66,992 62,744.1 60 60 60 60 60 610,352 110,780 1115,240 834.346. 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	Havant	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£74,000	£74,000	£74,000	£222,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Hinckley and soworth	Hertsmere					Member	of Hertford	Ishire CCTV Pa	artnership - c	ameras opera	ted by Steven	age Borough	Council				
Red	High Peak	•		£6,992	-	£0	£0	£0	£0	-	-	-	•	£0	£0	£0	£0
Huntingdon shire £37,500 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £124,622 £124,761 £124,622 £374,005 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0	and	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0		£0	£10,780	£41,273	£26,784	£33,965	£102,022	£0	£0	£120	£120
shire £37,500 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £124,622 £124,622 £374,005 £0 £0 £0 £0 £124,622 £124,622 £374,005 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £124,622 £124,612 £374,005 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £21,974 £22,302 £24,440 £68,716 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £	Horsham	£8,359	£49,720	£0	£58,079	£0	£0	£0	£0	£13,357	£17,004	£13,791	£44,152	£0	£0	£0	£0
Ipswich		£37,500	£0	£0	£37,500	£0	£0	£0	£0	£124,622	£124,761	£124,622	£374,005	£0	£0	£0	£0
Information F66,084 F52,454 F17,356 F135,894 F3,000 F1,279 F0 F4,279 F503,842 F47,278 F424,106 F1 Information F0 F0 F0 F0 F0 F0 F0 F	Hyndburn	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£21,974	£22,302	£24,440	£68,716	£0	£0	£0	£0
Scilly £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0	Ipswich	£66,084	£52,454	£17,356	£135,894	£3,000	£1,279	£0	£4,279	£503,842	£472,783	£424,106		Info	ormation n	ot broken d	own
King's Lynn and West Norfolk £66,879 £53,618 £124,934 £245,341 Information not broken down - see fixed installation costs Lancaster £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £209,219 £172,606 £189,253 £571,078 £0 £0 £0 Lewes No public facing CCTV cameras Lichfield £16,242 £40,181 £18,435 £74,858 £0 £0 £0 £0 £100,342 £99,052 £102,801 £302,195 £0 £0 £0 Lincoln £0 £0 £14,000 £0 £0 £0 £304,643 £347,693 £327,101 £979,437 £0 £0 £0 Maidstone £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £727,500 Information not broken down - see maintenance costs Maidstone £0 £8,611 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 <		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£115	£322	£437
Information not broken down - see fixed installation costs	Kettering	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹²⁷	£82,245	£97,145	£95,655	£275,045	£0	£0	£0	£0
Lichfield £16,242 £40,181 £18,435 £74,858 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £100,342 £99,052 £102,801 £302,195 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0	and West	£66,879	£53,618	£124,934	£245,341				Inf	ormation not I	oroken down	- see fixed ins	tallation costs	i			
Lichfield £16,242 £40,181 £18,435 £74,858 £0 £0 £0 £0 £100,342 £99,052 £102,801 £302,195 £0 £0 £0 Lincoln £0 £0 £14,000 £14,000 £0 £0 £0 £304,643 £347,693 £327,101 £979,437 £0 £0 £0 Maidstone £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £727,500 Information not broken down - see maintenance costs Maldon £0 £8,611 £0 £0 £0 £0 £8,033 £6,844 £7,403 £22,280 Information not broken down - see	Lancaster	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£209,219	£172,606	£189,253	£571,078	£0	£0	£0	£0
Lincoln £0 £0 £14,000 £14,000 £0 £0 £0 £0 £304,643 £347,693 £327,101 £979,437 £0 £0 £0 Maidstone £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £727,500 Information not broken down - see maintenance costs Maidon £0 £8.611 £0 £8.611 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £8.033 £6.844 £7.403 £22.280 Information not broken down - see	Lewes							N	o public facii	ng CCTV came	ras						
Maidstone £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 </th <th>Lichfield</th> <th>£16,242</th> <th>£40,181</th> <th>£18,435</th> <th>£74,858</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£100,342</th> <th>£99,052</th> <th>£102,801</th> <th>£302,195</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th>	Lichfield	£16,242	£40,181	£18,435	£74,858	£0	£0	£0	£0	£100,342	£99,052	£102,801	£302,195	£0	£0	£0	£0
Maidstone £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 £242,500 </th <th>Lincoln</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£14,000</th> <th>£14,000</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£304,643</th> <th>£347,693</th> <th>£327,101</th> <th>£979,437</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th> <th>£0</th>	Lincoln	£0	£0	£14,000	£14,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	£304,643	£347,693	£327,101	£979,437	£0	£0	£0	£0
Maidon FO F8.611 FO F8.611 FO FO FO F8.033 F6.844 F7.403 F22.280	Maidstone	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£242,500	£242,500	£242,500	£727,500	Informat			see fixed
COSIS	Maldon	£0	£8,611	£0	£8,611	£0	£0	£0	£0	£8,033	£6,844	£7,403	£22,280	Informat			see fixed
Malvern £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £2,154 £0 £0 £0 £0 £1,184 £2,800 £8,996 £1 Hills		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£2,154	£0	£2,154	£0	£0	£0	£0	£1,184	£2,800	£8,996	£12,980
Mansfield £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £128,892 £128,892 £83,905 £341,689 £0 £0	Mansfield	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£128,892	£128,892	£83,905	£341,689	£0	£0	£0	£0
Melton £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0.	Melton	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹²⁹	£7,120	£7,140	£8,035	£22,295	£0	£0	£0	£0

No installations since 1996.

Expenditure broken down between a Medway Council CCTV scheme - £225,000 and a Kent Police CCTV scheme - £17,500 Authority does not use mobile cameras.

Mendip	£7,827	£9,033	£6,610	£23,470	£0	£0	£0	£0	£22,467	£28,019	£17,349	£67,835	£0	£0	£0	£0
Mid Devon	£6,112. 20	£2,325. 50	£7,645.6 0	£16,083.3 0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£6,112.20	£0.00	£1,239.5 0	£7,351.70	£0	£0	£0	£0
Mid Suffolk							See Ba	abergh Distri	ct Council's Res	sponse						
Mid Sussex	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£14,225	£14,225	£14,225	£42,675	£0	£0	£0	£0
Mole Valley	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£7,602	£0	£7,602	£37,471	£37,400	£41,672	£116,543	£7,956	£4,510	£2,713	£15,179
New Forest	£8,849	£0	£0	£8,849	£0	£0	£0	£0	£133,000	£133,000	£125,000	£391,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Newark and Sherwood	£0	£4,904	£0	£4,904	£0	£0	£0	£0	£298,570	£304,687	£284,186	£887,443	£0	£0	£0	£0
Newcastle- under-Lyme	£0	£0	£4,500	£4,500	£0	£0	£0	£0	£52,400	£56,900	£50,500	£159,800	£0	£0	£0	£0
North Devon	£27,509 .44	£32,191 .68	£30,290. 96	£89,992.0 8	£0	£0	£0	£0	£31,770.4 7	£32,372. 70	£29,854. 58	£93,997.7 5	£0	£0	£0	£0
North Dorset							CCTV is the	responsibilit	y of Dorset Co	unty Council						
North East Derbyshire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£481.47	£481.47	£43,858	£29,042. 91	£768.32	£73,669.2 3	Info	ormation no	ot broken d	own
North Hertfordshir e	£0	£1,212. 69	£15,399. 09	£16,611.7 8	£0	£0	£0	£0	£178,681. 39	£111,148 .51	£127,732 .25	£417,562. 15	£0	£0	£0	£0
North Kesteven	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£28,371.6 3	£30,810. 17	£30,177. 14	£89,358.9 4	£0	£0	£0	£0
North Norfolk	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£89,669.5 3	£106,224 .71	£32,494. 06	£228,388. 30	£0	£0	£0	£0
North Warwickshi re	£0	£0	£0	£0	£24,36 1	£17,37 0	£13,805	£55,536	115,303	£117,673	£93,445	£326,421	£0	£0	£0	£0
North West Leicestershi re	£204	0	£6,141	£6,345	£0	£0	£0	£0	£123,190	£103,761	£101,112	£328,063	£4,691	£7,136	£4,709	£16,536
Northampto n	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0			Info	ormation not p	rovided			
Norwich	£0	£0	£57,979	£57,979	Inform		roken down - total	see fixed	270,858	213,659	235,467	£719,984	Informat	ion not bro to	ken down - tal	· see fixed
Nuneaton and Bedworth	£1,000	£400	£0	£1,400	£450	£250	£100	£800	£470,321. 23	£272,523 .91	£301,051 .71	£1,043,89 6.85	£0	£0	£0	£0

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk 55 Tufton Street, London SW1P 3QL 020 7340 6030 (office hours) 07505 448925 (24 hours)

 $^{^{\}rm 130}$ Management fee to North Derbyshire Chamber of Commerce. $^{\rm 131}$ CCTV van.

Oadby and Wigston	£0	£0	£240	£240	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Oxford	£0	£0	£19,104	£19,104	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹³²	£59,000	£59,000	£12,000	£130,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Pendle	£29,238	£1,875	£7,916	£39,029	£0	£0	£0	£0	£149,261. 25	£126,728 .49	£108,163	£384,152. 74	Info	ormation no	t broken d	own
Preston	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£150,000	£150,000	£150,000	£450,000	£500	£500	£500	£1,500
Purbeck	£373	£220	£687	£1,280	£0	£0	£0	£0	£1,218	£661	£549	£2,428	£0	£0	£0	£0
Redditch	£14,904	£18,694	£19,247	£52,845	£0	£0	£0	£0	£22,731	£22,731	£22,731	£68,193	£0	£0	£0	£0
Reigate and Banstead				£39,0	000							£282,000)			
Ribble Valley	£4,355	£0	£2,140	£6,495	£0	£0	£0	£0	£5,890	£6,410	£6,500	£18,800	£0	£0	£0	£0
Richmondsh ire	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£9,538	£1,266	£1,266	£12,070	£1,700	£1,220	£850	£3,770
Rochford							Does r	ot own or o	perate CCTV ca	ameras						
Rossendale	£0	£0	£4,478	£4,478	£0	£0	£0	£0	£7,760	£5,780	£14,040	£27,580	£0	£0	£0	£0
Rother	£0	£4,178	£0	£4,178	£0	£10,36 8	£11,210	£21,578	£16,472	£13,549	£16,257	£46,278	Info	ormation no	t broken d	own
Rugby	£237,82 0	£244,51 0	£251,360	£733,690	CCTV is	the respons	ibility of Rugb	y First, in pa	rtnership with	local busines	ses and Warv	vickshire Polic	e - see insta	allation for I	Rugby's coi	ntribution
Runnymede	£20,310 .00	£17,505 .96	£40,135. 26	£77,951.2 2	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹³³	£918,009. 25	£904,912 .66	£891,488 .96	£2,714,41 0.87	£0	£0	£0	£0
Rushcliffe	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Rushmoor	£120,77 8 ¹³⁴	£154,55 9 ¹³⁵	£0	£275,337	£0	£0	£0	£0	£342,342	£441,309	£433,259	£1,216,91 0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Ryedale							CCTV is the	Responsibilit	y of North Yor	kshire Police						
Scarboroug h	£0	£7,000	£30,000	£37,000	£0	£0	£0	£0	CCTV co	ntrol centre i	ncludes Scarb	orough lifeline	e call centre	e - costs can	not be sep	arated
Sedgemoor				Information n	ot provide	ed			£172,800	£179,620	£129,075	£481,495	Info	ormation no	t broken d	own

Authority does not use mobile CCTV.
Authority does not use mobile CCTV.
Authority does not use mobile CCTV.
Costs shared with Hart District Council.
Costs shared with Hart District Council.
Costs shared with Hart District Council.
Is Costs shared with Hart District Council.
Includes £6,913 in "support costs".

Selby	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£54,559.3 7	£18,695. 93	£18,177. 08	£91,432.3 8	£0	£0	£0	£0
Sevenoaks	£0	£0	£5,532	£5,532	£0	£0	£0	£0	£106,075	£105,643	£106,359	£318,077	£0	£0	£0	£0
Shepway							CCTV is the r	esponsibility	of Folkestone	Town Council						
South Bucks							No C	CTV - Camer	as decommiss	ioned						
South Cambridges hire				£50	00				£120	£120	£120	£360	Info	ormation no	ot broken d	lown
South Derbyshire	£3,098	£3,052	£102	£6,252	£1,144	£0	£594	£1,738	£1,447	£1,653	£1,564	£4,664	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Hams								No respor	se received							
South Holland	£0	£0	£2,285.5 2	£2,285.52	£0	£0	£0	£0	£38,536.7 6	£34,903. 61	£21,850. 52	£95,290.8 9	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Kesteven	£13,532	£0	£2,082	£15,614	£0	£12,17 9	£18,888	£31,067	£226,514	£218,808	£212,304	£657,626	£0	£638	£650	£1,288
South Lakeland		Information not held														
South Norfolk	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Northampto nshire						CC ⁻	TV is the resp	onsibility No	thamptonshir	e County Cou	ncil					
South Oxfordshire	£0	£0	£17,220	£17,220	Informa		roken down - costs	see fixed	£58,071.4 4	£65,079. 53	£58,130. 52	£181,281. 49	Informat		ken down - osts	- see fixed
South Ribble				£16,216.20							£3	30,310.05				
South Somerset	£2,500	£0	£0	£2,500	£0	£0	£0	£0	£43,000	£43,500	£44,000	£130,500	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Staffordshir e				Information n	ot provided	l			£176,800	£178,500	£168,500	£523,800	£0	£0	£0	£0
Spelthorne	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£134,700	£147,600	£154,900	£437,200	£6,800	£16,90 0	£20,00 0	£43,700
St Albans	£82,000	£53,000	£30,000	£165,000	£5,000	£0	£0	£5,000	£130,000	£122,000	£122,000	£374,000	Informa		oken down ed costs	ı - please
St Edmundsbu	£312,17 £281,29 £306,704 £900,168. 2.10 1.82 .55 47 Information cannot be broken down - See Installation costs															

¹³⁹ Includes £50,789 in "support costs".
140 Includes £57,191 in "support costs".
141 Yeovil Town Council contribute £31,000 per annum to the running of the system.

ry																
Stafford	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£9,912	£8,852	£7,000	£25,764	£0	£0	£0	£0
Staffordshir e Moorlands	£7,804. 88	6,55 5.56	£13,9 69.49	£28,329.9 3	£0	£0	£0	£0	£164,100. 86	£153,530 .40	£156,795	£474,426. 26	£0	£0	£0	£0
Stevenage	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£103,000	£94,000	£87,000	£284,000	Informat		ken down - sts	- see fixed
Stratford- on-Avon	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£101,030	£110,653	£121,172	£332,855	Informat	on not bro	ken down	
Stroud	£0	£0	£4,937	£4,937	£0	£0	£0	£0	£8,948.50	£8,255.5 0	£11,357. 32	£28,561.3 2	£0	£0	£0	£0
Suffolk Coastal						No o	cameras are	operated by,	or on behalf o	f, Suffolk Coa	stal					
Surrey Heath	£24,384	£6,096	£11,249	£41,729	£0	£0	£0	£0	£14,073	£10,085	£8,657	£32,815	£0	£0	£0	£0
Swale	£0	£0	£58,386. 98	£58,386.9 8	£0	£35,30 0	£0	£35,300	£204,350	£221,967	£221,967	£648,284	£13,92 1.60	£13,04 0	£11,55 7.47	£38,519 .07
Tamworth					Inforn	nation canno	ot be broken	down betwe	en public space	e and non-pu	blic space CC	TV ¹⁴²				
Tandridge	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0			Under £:	L000 per annu	m			
Taunton Deane	Info	rmation can	not be broke	en down - CCT\	/ managed	by Sedgemo	ore District C	Council	£225,037. 13	£225,037 .13	£230,700 .52	£680,774. 78			roken dowi more distri	
Teignbridge					No inf	ormation he	ld - CCTV re	sponsibility tr	ansferred to a	local commu	nity group in	2012				
Tendring	£21,351 .53	£16,670 .26	£30,069. 01	£68,090.8 0	£2,267. 50	£440	£925	£3,632.5 0		Inform	ation cannot	be broken dov	wn - see ins	tallation co	sts	
Test Valley	£75,325	£0	£0	£75,325	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£1,752	£1,752	£0	£0	£0	£0
Tewkesbury	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£1,200	£1,200	£1,200	£3,600	li	nformation	not provid	ed
Thanet	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£250	£0	£250	£169,000	£171,140	£169,220	£509,360	£0	£0	£0	£0
Three Rivers	12,610	12,666	8,104	33,380	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁴³	£4,310.73	£7,285.2 9 ¹⁴⁵	£11,271. 84 ¹⁴⁶	£22,867.8 6	£0	£0	£0	£0
Tonbridge and Malling	£33,000	£0	£10,500	£43,500	£0	£0	£6,400	£6,400	£210,000	£163,000	£141,000	£514,000	£11,00 0	£4,800	£5,300	£21,100
aaa																

The Authority's annual budget books and report indicate that they spent £15,000 annually on "CCTV camera renewals".

Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

Authority: £1,744.53, Community Safety Partnership: £2566.20.

Authority: £2,152.29, Community Safety Partnership: £5,133.

Authority: £2,218.67, Community Safety Partnership: £9,053.17

									8	00	13	51				
Tunbridge Wells	£33,000	£5,866	£0	£38,866	£0	£7,463	£0	£7,463	£94,400	£94,400	£76,000	£264,800	£0	£0	£0	£0
Uttlesford	£14,828	£18,000	£0	£32,828	£0	£0	£0	£0	£8,225	£1,620	£0	£9,845	£0	£0	£0	£0
Vale of White Horse	£0	£2,260	£18,280	£20,540	Inforn		roken down - total	see fixed	£43,447.6 5	£44,928. 89	£43,692. 36	£132,068. 90	Informat		oken down - otal	see fixed
Warwick		£29,000		£29,000	Co	uncil does n	ot use Mobile	CCTV	£472,000	£472,000	£472,000	£1,416,00 0	Coun	cil does not	use Mobile	CCTV
Watford	£3,000	£2,000	£105,000	£110,000	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁴⁷	£306,950	£289,510	£249,570	£846,030	£0	£0	£0	£0
Waveney	£29,000	£3,500	£46,000	£78,500	£0	£0	£0	£0	£25,000	£26,100	£22,800	£73,900	£0	£0	£0	£0
Waverley	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£6,659.90	£6,659.9 0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	See note ¹⁴⁸	£0
Wealden		CCTV is the responsibility of Sussex Police - some town and parish councils may contribute to the cost														
Wellingboro ugh	£0	£1,975	£16,750	£18,725	£0	£0	£0	£0	£53,123	£51,880	£48,293	£153,296	£0	£0	£0	£0
Welwyn Hatfield	£100	£64,397	£35,824	£100,321	£0	£0	£0	£0	£126,869	£118,736	£106,212	£351,817	£0	£0	£0	£0
West Devon								No respon	se received							
West Dorset	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£30,136	£30,136	£30,136	£90,408	£158	£1,450	£1,037	£2,645
West Lancashire	£411,74 6.90	£385,97 4.89	£24,599. 62	£822,321. 41	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁴⁹	£239,592. 34	£252,894 .04	£230,156 .39	£722,642. 77	£0	£0	£0	£0
West Lindsey	£11,052	£4,208	£2,139.7 9	£17,399.7 9	£0	£0	£0	£0	£71,704.4 9	£65,664. 85	£61,622. 28	£198,991. 62	£0	£0	£0	£0
West Oxfordshire								No respon	se received							
West Somerset	£39,663	£36,067	£29,715	£105,445			Ir	nformation n	ot broken dow	n - see fixed i	nstallation co	osts for total C	CTV budget			
Weymouth and Portland			Inforn	nation not prov	ided				£277,977	£228,326	£240,003	£746,306	£0	£1,124	£390	£1,514
Winchester		£75,000		£75,000	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁵⁰	£159,000	£159,000	£159,000	£477,000	£0	£0	£0	£0
Woking	31,035	£22,433	£86,278	£139,746	£0	£0	£0	£0	£294,221	£223,558	£225,023	£742,802	£2,990	£2,738	£2,500	£8,228

¹⁴⁷ Authority does not use mobile CCTV.
¹⁴⁸ Amount includes 60-month maintenance contract.
¹⁴⁹ Authority does not use mobile CCTV.
¹⁵⁰ Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

Worcester	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£70,000	£70,000	£70,000	£210,000	£500	£500	£500	£1,500
Worthing								See Adur'	s response							
Wychavon	£148,40 0	£156,50 0	£146,900	£451,800					Info	ormation not	broken dowr	ı				
Wycombe	£0	£0	£200	£200	£0	£0	£0	£0	£263,496. 87	£245,004 .78	£274,942 .87	£783,444. 52	£0	£0	£0	£0
Wyre	£50,500	£0	£14,210. 32	£64,710.3 2	£0	£0	£0	£0	£20,718.8 2	£18,246. 11	£19,059. 88	£58,024.8 1	£0	£0	£0	£0
Wyre Forest	£0	£0	£9,134.5 5	£9,134.55	£0	£0	£0	£0	£18,699.2 2	£30,919. 33	£28,907. 36	£78,525.9 1 ¹⁵²	£0	£0	£0	£0
Total				£11,188,6 83				£394,607				£50,400,8 26.21				£620,52 0

¹⁵¹ Figures based on revised budget net cost of service. ¹⁵² Response combined operation, maintenance and wages costs.

Table 9: Local Authority Expenditure on Wages and Salary

Council	Annua	I Spend - Wage and S	Salary	Total						
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015							
	City of Lor	ndon and London Bor	oughs							
City of London	CC	TV is the responsibility	y of City of London P	olice						
Barking and Dagenham		No respon	se received							
Barnet	Opera	Operation outsourced- no direct wage and salary costs								
Bexley	Information not a	Information not available - part of an all-inclusive operations, equipment and staffing contract fee								
Brent	£322,000	£322,000 £322,000 £322,000 £966,000								
Bromley	£256,150	£256,150	£256,150	£768,450						
Camden	£176,717.35	£476,231.39	£425,610.74	£1,078,559.48						
Croydon	ļ	ncluded in operation	and maintenance co	sts						
Ealing	Information	not provided	£833,569.79	£833,569.79						
Enfield	Informatio	on cannot be provided	d - staff undertake m	ultiple roles						
Greenwich	£720,212	£689,764	£700,852	£2,110,828						
Hackney	Information not bro	oken down - see opera to con	ation and maintenar tractor)	nce costs (outsourced						
Hammersmith and Fulham	£404,768	£401,224	£371,081	£1,177,073						
Haringey	£219,492	£223,092	£221,629	£664,213						
Harrow	£333,140	£279,751	£247,187	£860,078						
Havering	£25,000	£25,000	£25,000	£75,000						
Hillingdon	£137,219	£136,226	£143,243	£416,688						
Hounslow	£503,672.88	£503,672.88	£503,672.88	£1,511,018.64						
Islington	£295,000	£295,000	£295,000	£885,000						
Kensington and Chelsea	£143,063	£142,964	£152,243	£438,270						
Kingston upon Thames	Informatio	on cannot be provided	d - staff undertake m	ultiple roles						
Lambeth		Information	not provided							
Lewisham	£325,000	£325,000	£325,000	£975,000						
Merton		Refused - co	ost and time							
Newham	£373,897	£279,624	£209,015	£862,536						
Redbridge	£590,821.47	£544,045.14	£524,493.29	£1,659,359.90						
Richmond upon Thames	£59,446	£59,446	£59,446	£178,338						
Southwark	£289,925	£274,834	£295,735	£860,494						
Sutton ¹⁵³	£0	£0	£0	£0						
Tower Hamlets	£388,958	£502,989	£625,078	£1,517,025						
Waltham Forest	Information	not held - operation	outsourced	£0						
Wandsworth	Information	not provided	£164,428.81	£164,428.81						

_

 $^{^{\}rm 153}$ There is a partnership agreement in place with MPS/MOPAC for monitoring,

Westminster	£541,300	£492,000	£376,000	£1,409,300						
Total	·	•	·	£19,411,230						
	En	glish County Councils	S							
Buckinghamshire ¹⁵⁴	£0	£0	£0	£0						
Cambridgeshire ¹⁵⁵	£0	£0	£0	£0						
Cumbria		Does not own or operate CCTV cameras								
Derbyshire		Refused - Cost and Time								
Devon ¹⁵⁶	£0	£0	£0	£0						
Dorset		Council does not er	mploy CCTV operators	S						
East Sussex	CCTV is t	he responsibility of t	he District and Borouչ	gh Councils						
Essex		No respo	nse received							
Gloucestershire ¹⁵⁷	£0	£0	£0	£0						
Hampshire	Co	ouncil does not emplo	y specific CCTV opera	ators						
Hertfordshire	Informat	ion cannot be broker	n down - part of a larg	ger contract						
Kent ¹⁵⁸	N/A	N/A	N/A	£0						
Lancashire	Oı	n-street CCTV manag	ed by the District Cou	ncils						
Leicestershire	See Leicester City Council's response									
Lincolnshire	No response received									
Norfolk	Information not provided									
Northamptonshire ¹⁵⁹	£0	£0	£0	£0						
North Yorkshire	CCTV is t	he responsibility of t	he District and Borouչ	gh Councils						
Nottinghamshire	CCTV is t	he responsibility of t	he District and Borouչ	gh Councils						
Oxfordshire	Information can	not be broken down -	staff undertake mult	iple responsibilities						
Somerset		Information cann	not be broken down							
Staffordshire	CCTV is t	he responsibility of t	he District and Borouչ	gh Councils						
Suffolk	CCTV is t	he responsibility of t	he District and Borouչ	gh Councils						
Surrey	CCTV is t	he responsibility of t	he District and Borouչ	gh Councils						
Warwickshire	Information can	not be broken down -	staff undertake mult	iple responsibilities						
West Sussex ¹⁶⁰	£0	£0	£0	£0						
Worcestershire		No respo	nse received							
Total				£0						
	English Unitary Authorities									
Bath and North East Somerset	Refused - Cost and Time									
Bedford Borough ¹⁶¹	£0	£0	£0	£0						
Blackburn with	Information not	broken down - includ	ded in operation and	maintenance costs						

All monitoring is carried out by a contractor.

155 The authority has no dedicated CCTV operators.

156 CCTV is monitored as part of a larger work remit.

¹⁵⁷ Cameras monitored by Gloucestershire Police.

¹⁵⁸ Response notes: "We do not have dedicated CCTV operators, there is a Highway Management Centre which monitors and manages traffic using a wide array of systems; one of which is CCTV."

159 The authority does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.

 $^{^{\}rm 160}$ The Council does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.

 $^{^{\}rm 161}$ Monitoring delivered by an external agency.

Darwen									
Blackpool	£41,763	£41,763	£41,763	£125,289					
Bournemouth	£286,256	£286,256	£286,256	£858,768					
Bracknell Forest ¹⁶²	£0	£0	£0	£0					
Brighton and Hove ¹⁶³	£0	£0	£0	£0					
Bristol, City of	Information cann	ot be broken down - s	staff undertake multi	nle responsibilities					
Central Bedfordshire	£147,000	£138,000	£129,000	£414,000					
Cheshire East	<u> </u>	n not provided	£267,199.20	£267,199.20					
Cheshire West and Chester	£377,000	£374,000	£426,000	£1,177,000					
Cornwall	£10,058	£5,339	£0	£15,397					
Darlington	£335,320	£351,142	£347,926	£1,034,388					
Derby	£160,000	£170,000	£170,000	£500,000					
Durham	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ot be broken down - s	·	ple responsibilities					
East Riding of Yorkshire	£90,249	£92,828	£95,546	£278,623					
Halton	N/A ¹⁶⁴	£96,000	£120,000	£216,000					
Hartlepool	Monitoring carried out by Thirteen Group Housing Association								
Herefordshire	£96,684	£90,106	£80,697	£267,487					
Isle of Wight	F	Refused - commerciall	y sensitive information	on					
Kingston upon Hull, City of	Unable to b	reak figure down - st	aff have a range of re	esponsibilities					
Leicester	£279,000	£308,400	£303,000	£890,400					
Luton	£170,000	£170,000	£170,000	£510,000					
Medway									
Middlesbrough	£225,000	£225,000	£225,000	£675,000					
Milton Keynes ¹⁶⁵	£0	£0	£0	£0					
North East Lincolnshire	£205,625.06	£209,890.56	£232,000.96	£647,516.58					
North Lincolnshire	£98,400	£98,400	£98,400	£295,200					
North Somerset	Information	not broken down by f	financial year	£1,194,000					
Northumberland ¹⁶⁶	£0	£0	£0	£0					
Nottingham ¹⁶⁷		Information	on not held						
Peterborough	£198,495	£236,081	£286,699	£721,275					
Plymouth	£140,987.09	£158,457.48	£155,110.84	£454,555.41					
Poole	Information cann	ot be broken down - s		ple responsibilities					
Portsmouth	£262,651.66	£249,775.05	£231,799.27	£744,225.98					
Reading	CC	TV is the responsibilit	y of Thames Valley Po	olice					
Redcar and Cleveland	£286,749	£263,972	£291,802	£842,523					

The authority does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.

163 Cameras monitored by Sussex Police
164 Outsourced to Remploy until May 2013.
165 CCTV operated by Thames Valley Police.
166 The authority does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.
167 Monitoring contracted out to G4S.

Rutland	Information n	ot available - provided	to the council via a s	service contract			
Shropshire	mormation	·	se received	Service contract			
Slough	£323,750	£317,010	£305,170	£945,930			
South	,	Refused under Section	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Gloucestershire							
Southampton			ed installation costs				
Southend-on-Sea	£248,000	£249,000	£286,000	£783,000			
Stockton-on-Tees	£81,450	£81,450	£81,450	£244,350			
Stoke-on-Trent	£325,207	£305,687	£319,238	£950,132			
Swindon	£102,100	£103,100	Information not provided ¹⁶⁸	£205,200			
Telford and Wrekin ¹⁶⁹	£0	£0	£0	£0			
Thurrock		£1,409,921		£1,409,921			
Torbay	Information	n not provided	£187,761	£187,761			
Warrington	£160,000	£155,000	£162,000	£477,000			
West Berkshire	Cost of annual con	tract with Royal Borou within operation and	~	laidenhead included			
Wiltshire	£235,237	£123,779	£108,561	£467,577			
Windsor and Maidenhead	£397,000	£400,000	£413,000	£1,210,000			
Wokingham	£0	£0	£0	£0			
York	£168,729	£198,334	£225,700	£592,763			
Total				£18,408,481.17			
	Wel	sh Unitary Authorities	5				
Blaenau Gwent	£0	£0	£0	£0			
Bridgend	£147,300	£147,300	£147,300	£441,900 ¹⁷⁰			
Caerphilly	£403,080	£403,400	£401,276	£1,207,756			
Cardiff	£620,284	£638,699	£515,599	£1,774,582			
Carmarthenshire	£154,039.08	£139,472.50	£145,138.92	£583,789.42			
Ceredigion		Council "no longe	er provide CCTV"				
Conwy	£317,319.92	£342,929.44	£332,944.95	£993,194.31			
Denbighshire	£224,858	£210,598	£235,524	£670,980			
Flintshire		Information	not provided				
Gwynedd	£251,343.00	£255,164	£266,405	£772,912			
Isle of Anglesey		No respons	se received				
Merthyr Tydfil		Information	not provided				
Monmouthshire	The council makes an annual contribution to a shared service (this includes several councils and a police force) - See fixed installation costs						
Neath Port Talbot		Information	not provided				
Newport	£216,880.53	£219,559.91	£261,706.58	£698,147.02			
<u> </u>	•	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Staff members now do other security work in addition to CCTV monitoring.

169 No "live" monitoring of systems.

170 Calculated using lowest band in the given pay scales.

Pembrokeshire	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁷¹
Powys		Refused - co	ost and time	
Rhondda, Cynon, Taff	£439,934	£428,382	£364,890	£1,233,206
Swansea		No respons	se received	
The Vale of Glamorgan	£77,380	£77,910	£79,165	£234,455
Torfaen	£129,372	£129,372	£129,372	£388,116
Wrexham	£277,030	£268,719.07	£280,102.34	£825,851.41
	Norther	n Irish District Council	Areas	
Antrim and Newtownabbey	Carried out by	a contractor – costs inc sect	·	and maintenance
Ards and North Down ¹⁷²	£0	£0	£0	£0
Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon ¹⁷³	£0	£0	£0	£0
Belfast		Does not own or op	erate CCTV cameras	
Causeway Coast and Glens ¹⁷⁴	£0	£0	£0	£0
Derry City and Strabane	£0	£0	£0	£0
Fermanagh and Omagh	£19,110	£19,110	£19,110	£57,330
Lisburn Castlereagh		No respons	se received	
Mid and East Antrim		Information no	t broken down	
Mid-Ulster ¹⁷⁵	£0	£0	£0	£0
Newry, Mourne and Down ¹⁷⁶	£0	£0	£0	£0
	Sc	cottish Council Areas		
Aberdeen City		CCTV is the responsib	ility of Police Scotlan	d
Aberdeenshire	CCTV is the respo	nsibility of Police Scotl purchased thei	and and several tow r own cameras.	n councils that have
Angus	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁷⁷
Argyll and Bute	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁷⁸
Clackmannanshire	£0	£0	£0	£0
Dumfries and Galloway ¹⁷⁹	£0	£0	£0	£0

¹⁷¹ Cameras controlled and monitored by Dyfed Powys Police.

¹⁷² Monitoring service delivered by outside contractor on behalf of the two Councils.

¹⁷³ CCTV monitored by PSNI.

¹⁷⁴ Monitored by Police.

Monitored by Police.

175 Monitored by a private company.
176 21 cameras monitored by PSNI and the remaining Lisburn Commerce against Crime.
177 Staff costs and associated pensions are funded by Police Scotland.
178 System operated by Police Scotland.
179 CCTV operators are employed by the Scottish Police Authority.

www.biabrotherwatch.org.uk

Dundee City	No	one - paid for and ma	naged by Police Scotl	and
East Ayrshire		Information canno	t be broken down ¹⁸⁰	
East Dunbartonshire		Information cann	ot be broke down	
East Lothian ¹⁸¹	£0	£0	£0	£0
East Renfrewshire	£341,355	£380,369	£429,939	£1,151,663
City of Edinburgh	£637,549	£642,224	£677,961	£1,957,734
Eilean Siar	£0	£0	£0	£0
Falkirk	Information	n not provided	£99,000	£99,000
Fife	£241,198	£241,198	£241,198	£723,594 ¹⁸²
Glasgow City	Asked for	clarification and Big B	rother Watch failed t	to provide it
Highland	£137,000	£137,000	£137,000	£411,000
Inverclyde	£146,234	£148,140	£127,070	£421,444 ¹⁸³
Midlothian	£0	£0	£0	£0
Moray	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁸⁴
North Ayrshire	£172,634.47	£178,184.47	£174,184.96	£525,003.90
North Lanarkshire	Information not h	eld - CCTV managed a	and developed by Tov	wn Centre Activities
Orkney Islands	In	formation not broken	down - See overall t	otal
Perth and Kinross ¹⁸⁵	£0	£0	£0	£0
Renfrewshire	£0	£0	£97,060	£97,060
Scottish Borders ¹⁸⁶	£0	£0	£0	£0
Shetland Islands ¹⁸⁷	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Ayrshire ¹⁸⁸		Information cann	ot be broken down	
South Lanarkshire	£388,000	£388,000	£388,000	£1,164,000
Stirling	£46,743	£33,048	£41,766	£121,557
West Dunbartonshire	£0	£0	£0	£0
West Lothian		CCTV is the responsib	oility of Police Scotlan	ıd
Total				£16,554,275
	English	h Metropolitan Coun	cils	
Barnsley	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁸⁹
Birmingham	£1,337,433	£1,138,932	£1,102,640	£3,579,005

¹⁸⁰ Staff undertake a number of functions, not solely CCTV monitoring.

181 Staff carry out a wide range of other responsibilities as well as CCTV monitoring.

182 Excludes pension liabilities - information not held.

¹⁸³ Receive the following amount from Police Scotland: 2012/13 - £30,000, 2013/14 - £30,000, 2014/15 - £30,000.

¹⁸⁴ Monitored by Police Scotland.

No dedicated CCTV operators and cameras mainly monitored by Police Scotland.

¹⁸⁶ Cameras operated by Police Scotland.
187 Cameras operated by Police Scotland.

 $[\]overset{\text{\tiny{188}}}{\text{\tiny{Response}}}$ Response centre staff undertake a variety of roles - no specific CCTV operators.

 $[\]dot{}^{189}$ Monitored by South Yorkshire Police.

Bolton	Town Centre CCTV provided by National Car Parks Ltd							
Bradford	Information cann	ot be broken down - p bud	•	wider departmental				
Bury	Information cann	ot be broken down - s	taff undertake mult	iple responsibilities				
Calderdale	£62,000	£62,000	£62,000	£186,000				
Coventry		Refused - Co	st and Time					
Doncaster	£262,088.58	£234,694.63	£360,608.31	£857,391.52 ¹⁹⁰				
Dudley	£120,000	£120,000	£120,000	£360,000 ¹⁹¹				
Gateshead		Information cannot	be broken down ¹⁹²					
Kirklees	Information not provided £330,159 £330,159							
Knowsley	£358,978	£371,329	£348,687	£1,078,994 ¹⁹³				
Leeds		Information	not provided					
Liverpool	£385,235	£383,691	£326,358	£1,095,284				
Manchester	Covered by a wider contract – information cannot be broken down							
Newcastle upon Tyne	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities							
North Tyneside	£600,000	£587,000	£516,000	£1,703,000				
Oldham	£385,782.00	£312,726.34	£285,534.81	£984,043.15				
Rochdale	CCTV oper	atives do not work fo	r the council - Not s	ubject to FOI				
Rotherham ¹⁹⁴	£0	£0	£0	£0				
Salford	Informa	ation cannot be provid	led - provided unde	r contract				
Sandwell	Information cann	ot be broken down - s	taff undertake mult	iple responsibilities				
Sefton ¹⁹⁵	£0	£0	£0	£0				
Sheffield	Information cann	ot be broken down - s	taff undertake mult					
Solihull	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁹⁶				
South Tyneside 197		Information no	t broken down					
St. Helens	£163,459	£162,820	£158,751	£485,030				
Stockport	£19,000	£19,000	£21,000	£59,000				
Sunderland	Information cannot be broken down							
Tameside	£128,630	£128,630	£128,630	£385,890				

¹⁹⁰ Public space CCTV also operated by South Yorkshire Police but they do not contribute to the running and maintenance of the system.

¹⁹¹ Approximate figures.

There are no dedicated CCTV operators, personnel undertake a range of tasks relating to the delivery of the Facilities Management Service.

 $^{^{193}}$ Excludes costs for Huyton, where staff members undertake a variety of security functions: 2012/13 - £106,401, 2013/14 - £57,633, 2014/15 - £55,562.

The authority does not pay to have its CCTV monitored. The staff that do monitor are paid from generated income from other activities.

¹⁹⁶ Not applicable as service is contracted out.

¹⁹⁷ CCTV monitoring is combined with a number of other services such as concierges and alarms monitoring. The costs cannot therefore be broken down.

Trafford	£320,579	£288,152	£295,481	£904,212
Wakefield	£385,182	£385,403	£364,574	£1,135,159
Walsall	£342,332	£297,854	£281,375	£921,561
Wigan	Informa	tion not broken down	- staff perform vario	ous duties
Wirral	£0	£0	£0	£0 ¹⁹⁸
Wolverhampton ¹⁹⁹	£0	£0	£0	£0
Total				£14,064,729
	English 1	Non-Metropolitan Dist	ricts	
Adur	Inf	ormation not held in a	a readily available fo	rmat
Allerdale	£21,858	£0 ²⁰⁰	£0	£21,858
Amber Valley ²⁰¹	£0	£0	£0	£0
Arun ²⁰²	£0	£0	£0	£0
Ashfield	CCTV is t	he responsibility of N	ottinghamshire Cour	nty Council
Ashford		Refused - Co	ost and time	
Aylesbury Vale	Information	n not provided	£108,258	£108,258 ²⁰³
Babergh	£0	£0	£0	£0
Barrow-in-Furness	£40,250	£0	£0	£40,250
Basildon ²⁰⁴	£0	£0	£0	£0
Basingstoke and Deane	£0	£0	£0	£0
Bassetlaw	CC	ΓV monitoring is provi	ded by a different co	ouncil
Blaby ²⁰⁵	£0	£0	£0	£0
Bolsover	Information ca	nnot be broken down	- no specific post of	a CCTV operator
Boston	£146,046	£165,815	£163,109	£474,970
Braintree	Information cann	ot be broken down - s	staff undertake mult	iple responsibilities
Breckland	•	ed by Kings Lynn & Wo tenance cannot be bro		
Brentwood	£118,475	£150,276	£161,703	£430,454
Broadland		Council has no p	ublic facing CCTV	
Bromsgrove	£0	£0	£0	£0
Broxbourne	Monitorin	g costs included in op	eration and mainten	ance figures
Broxtowe	Operators	not employed by cour	ıcil - included in ope	rational costs
Burnley	£114,000	£101,000	£104,000	£132,000

¹⁹⁸ Authority does not employ CCTV operators.
¹⁹⁹ No dedicated CCTV operators.
²⁰⁰ Service ceased to operate 1st April 2013.

Service ceased to operate 1 April 2015.

201 Systems manned by volunteers.

202 Systems manned by Sussex Police.

203 Staff employed by Thames Valley Police - Local Authority pays 50% of the overall cost.

204 No dedicated CCTV operators have been employed by the Council since March 2012.

²⁰⁵ Systems are not dedicated manned monitored/operated systems.

Cambridge	£302,000	£264,000	£54,000	£620,000					
Cannock Chase	Information	not provided	£106,777 ²⁰⁶	£106,777					
Canterbury	£278,201.80	£278,201.80	£278,201.80	£834,605.40					
Carlisle		No respon	se received						
Castle Point		Council does not em	nploy CCTV operators	;					
Charnwood	£179,563.78.	£179,563.78.	£179,563.78.	£538,691.34					
Chelmsford	£310,452	£299,392	£283,107	£892,951					
Cheltenham	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²⁰⁷					
Cherwell	£75,000	£75,000	£75,000	£225,000 ²⁰⁸					
Chesterfield	£594,242	£594,242	£594,242	£1,782,726					
Chichester	£33,868	£32,526	£48,242	£114,636					
Chiltern		No respon	se received						
Chorley	£114,951.14	£85,166.76	£56,503.51	£256,621.41					
Christchurch	£64,000	£65,000	£59,000	£188,000					
Colchester		Information	not provided						
Copeland		h Council have had no wo years" - Cumbria (
Corby	£0	£0	£0	£0					
Cotswold	CCTV	CCTV is the responsibility of Cirencester Town Council							
Craven	N	o CCTV - decommissio	oned as of October 20						
Crawley	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²⁰⁹					
Dacorum	£293,295	£326,045	£331,676	£951,016					
Dartford	£123,910	£123,910	£123,910	£371,730					
Daventry	ССТ	V is the responsibility	of Daventry Town Co	ouncil					
Derbyshire Dales	£0	£0	£0	£0					
Dover	£99,723	£87,824	£99,984	£287,531					
East Cambridgeshire ²¹⁰	£0	£0	£0	£0					
East Devon		<u> </u>	se received						
East Dorset		See Christchu	rch's response						
East Hampshire	£0	£0	£0	£0					
East Hertfordshire	Ir	ncluded in operation		sts					
East Lindsey	£203,037	£203,037	£203,037	£609,111					
East Northamptonshire	£0	£0	£0	£0					
East Staffordshire			a service provider						
Eastbourne		See T	able 8						
Eastleigh	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²¹¹					

 ^{206 2015/16} projection.
 207 CCTV is monitored by the police.
 208 Figures represent an annual contribution to Thames Valley Police who employ the staff monitoring the cameras.
 209 CCTV is monitored by the Police.
 210 Compares monitored by volunteers managed by City of Ely Council.

²¹⁰ Cameras monitored by volunteers managed by City of Ely Council.
²¹¹ Council does not directly employ CCTV operators.

Eden	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras						
Elmbridge	CCTV monitoring is contracted to Runnymede Borough Council						
Epping Forest	£69,538	£69,538					
Epsom and Ewell	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²¹²			
Erewash	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²¹³			
Exeter	£423,605	£423,605	£423,605	£1,270,815			
Fareham ²¹⁴	£0	£0	£0	£0			
Fenland	£130,106	£132,802	£137,493	£400,401			
Forest Heath	£24,000	£24,000	£24,000	£72,000 ²¹⁵			
Forest of Dean		The council has	no CCTV cameras				
Fylde ²¹⁶	£0	£0	£0	£0			
Gedling	£65,581	£59,189	£45,947	£170,717			
Gloucester	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²¹⁷			
Gosport	Contracte	ed out - included in op	peration and mainter	ance costs			
Gravesham	Included in (operation and mainte	nances costs - 5 CCT\	/ operators ²¹⁸			
Great Yarmouth		control or maintain ar controlled by Comm Co	, ,	•			
Guildford ²¹⁹	£0	£0	£0	£0			
Hambleton	£65,343.82	£70,024.53	£76,457.54	£211,825.89			
Harborough	£9,000	£9,000	£9,000	,			
Harlow	£0			£27,000			
Harrogate		£0	£0				
Hart	£168,664	£0 £168,664	£0 £168,664	£27,000			
	<u> </u>		£168,664	£27,000 £0 £505,992			
Hastings	<u> </u>	£168,664	£168,664	£27,000 £0 £505,992			
Hastings Havant	CCTV	£168,664 is the responsibility of	£168,664 f Rushmoor Borough	£27,000 £0 £505,992 Council			
	CCTV £180,912 £80,000	£168,664 is the responsibility of £142,681 £80,000 Fordshire CCTV Partne	£168,664 f Rushmoor Borough £148,741 £80,000	£27,000 £0 £505,992 Council £472,334 £240,000 ated by Stevenage			
Havant Hertsmere High Peak	CCTV £180,912 £80,000	£168,664 is the responsibility of £142,681 £80,000 Fordshire CCTV Partne	£168,664 f Rushmoor Borough £148,741 £80,000 rship - cameras oper	£27,000 £0 £505,992 Council £472,334 £240,000			
Havant Hertsmere	£180,912 £80,000 Member of Hertf	£168,664 is the responsibility of £142,681 £80,000 Fordshire CCTV Partne Borough	£168,664 f Rushmoor Borough £148,741 £80,000 rship - cameras oper	£27,000 £0 £505,992 Council £472,334 £240,000 ated by Stevenage £0 ²²⁰ £0 ²²¹			
Havant Hertsmere High Peak Hinckley and	£180,912 £80,000 Member of Hertf	£168,664 is the responsibility of £142,681 £80,000 Fordshire CCTV Partne Borough	£168,664 f Rushmoor Borough £148,741 £80,000 rship - cameras oper n Council £0	£27,000 £0 £505,992 Council £472,334 £240,000 ated by Stevenage			
Havant Hertsmere High Peak Hinckley and Bosworth	£180,912 £80,000 Member of Hertf £0	£168,664 is the responsibility of £142,681 £80,000 Fordshire CCTV Partne Borough £0	£168,664 f Rushmoor Borough £148,741 £80,000 rship - cameras oper n Council £0 £0	£27,000 £0 £505,992 Council £472,334 £240,000 ated by Stevenage £0 ²²⁰ £0 ²²¹			

Cameras monitored by Surrey Police.

213 Cameras monitored by volunteers recruited by Derbyshire Police.

214 Monitoring is undertaken by a contractor on behalf of the Fareham and Gosport CCTV Partnership.

Payment to St Edmundsbury Borough Council.

Monitored on an ad-hoc basis - usually in record only mode.

²¹⁷ Cameras are monitored by Gloucestershire Police.

²¹⁸ Operators are employed by Medway Council.

Town centre cameras are operated by Surrey Police. No specific costs inherent with car park CCTV monitoring.

Employed by a third party supplier via a procurement exercise.

²²¹ CCTV monitoring is contracted out, in 2015/16 the cost was £60,721.68.

²²² CCTV monitoring undertaken by Sussex Police.

Ipswich	£467,140	£448,732	£427,919	£1,343,791			
Isles of Scilly		Information n	ot provided				
Kettering	£95,654	£96,153	£97,245	£289,052			
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	£251,616.17	£266,363.09	£319,004.42	£836,983.68			
Lancaster		Information I	not held ²²³				
Lewes	No public facing CCTV cameras						
Lichfield ²²⁴	£0	£0	£0	£0			
Lincoln	£195,405	£231,860	£640,005				
Maidstone	Informa	ation not broken down -	see fixed mainten				
Maldon	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²²⁵			
Malvern Hills	N/A	N/A N/A		N/A			
Mansfield		Information n	ot provided				
Melton	£13,463.40	£13,463.40	£13,463.40	£40,390.20			
Mendip	£67,155	£68,915	£72,267	£208,337			
Mid Devon	£3,377.92	£3,639.14	£3,950.12	£10,967.18			
Mid Suffolk		See Babergh District (Council's Response				
Mid Sussex	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²²⁶			
Mole Valley	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²²⁷			
New Forest	£181,875.80	Operatives are multi-s other duties not ju	£181,875.80				
Newark and Sherwood	Paid for via a	ın annual stipend - includ	ded in operation ar	nd maintenance			
Newcastle-under- Lyme	Information no	ot broken down - include section	· ·	and maintenance			
North Devon	£189,617.33	£186,623.43	£139,643.90	£515,884.66			
North Dorset	CC	TV is the responsibility o	of Dorset County Co	ouncil			
North East Derbyshire ²²⁸	£0	£0	£0	£0			
North Hertfordshire		h Council is the lead on o s pays an annual contrib costs.		£0			
North Kesteven	£38,343	£34,430	£34,775	£107,548			
North Norfolk	£90,707.46	£100,525.79	£26,895.04	£218,128.29			
North Warwickshire	£78,339	£78,847	£74,963	£232,149			
North West Leicestershire	Contract	ted out - included in ope	ration and mainter	nance costs			
Northampton	Co	ontracted out - includes	other security func	tions			
Norwich	152,635	187,762	186,983	£527,380			
Nuneaton and	£184,198.70	£148,390.14	£149,870.67	£482,459.51			

²²³ CCTV operated by Lancaster City Council.
²²⁴ Monitoring costs are subcontracted.
²²⁵ Authority does not employ CCTV operators.
²²⁶ CCTV monitored by Sussex Police.
²²⁷ CCTV monitored by Sussex Police.
²²⁸ No specific post of CCTV operator.

	Information	not provided				
£96,000	£96,000	£96,000	£288,000			
Included in operation and maintenance costs						
£0	£0	£0	£0 ²²⁹			
£0	£0	£0	£0			
£225,058	£210,486	£254,685	£690,229 ²³⁰			
£0	£0	£0	£0			
£78,530	£78,530	£80,560	£237,620			
£0	£0	£0	£0 ²³²			
	Does not own or op	perate CCTV cameras				
£0	£0	£0	£0			
£0	£0	£0	£0 ²³⁴			
			44 . 4			
Warwio £848,699.25	ckshire Police - see r £838,406.70	eote for Rugby's cont £802,353.70	f2,489,459.65			
£848,699.25	£838,406.70	£802,353.70	£2,489,459.65			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶	£802,353.70 £0	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ / is the Responsibility re includes Scarboro	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ / is the Responsibility re includes Scarboro	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷ y of North Yorkshire ugh lifeline call centi	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954 CCTV CCTV control centr	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ / is the Responsibility re includes Scarboro sepa	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷ y of North Yorkshire ugh lifeline call centi	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police re - costs cannot be			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954 CCTV CCTV control centrol £403,697 Information not	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ / is the Responsibility re includes Scarboro sepa £399,857	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷ y of North Yorkshire ugh lifeline call centionated £399,562	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police re - costs cannot be £1,203,116			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954 CCTV CCTV control centrol £403,697 Information not provided £132,350	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ / is the Responsibility re includes Scarboro sepa £399,857 £14,000 £132,350	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷ y of North Yorkshire ugh lifeline call centrated £399,562 £14,000	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police re - costs cannot be £1,203,116 £28,000 ²³⁸ £401,000			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954 CCTV CCTV control centrol £403,697 Information not provided £132,350	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ I is the Responsibility re includes Scarboro separation for the separation for	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷ y of North Yorkshire ugh lifeline call cention trated £399,562 £14,000 £136,300	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police re - costs cannot be £1,203,116 £28,000 ²³⁸ £401,000			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954 CCTV CCTV control centrol £403,697 Information not provided £132,350 CCTV	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ I is the Responsibility for the responsibility of the responsibility for the responsibility of the responsibility for the responsibility of the re	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷ y of North Yorkshire ugh lifeline call centrated £399,562 £14,000 £136,300 of Folkestone Town 0	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police re - costs cannot be £1,203,116 £28,000 ²³⁸ £401,000 Council			
£848,699.25 £0 £130,954 CCTV CCTV control centrol £403,697 Information not provided £132,350 CCTV	£838,406.70 £0 £187,473 ²³⁶ I is the Responsibility for the responsibility of the responsibility for the responsibility of the responsibility for the responsibility of the re	£802,353.70 £0 £195,856 ²³⁷ y of North Yorkshire ugh lifeline call centrared £399,562 £14,000 £136,300 of Folkestone Town (as decommissioned)	£2,489,459.65 £0 £514,283 Police re - costs cannot be £1,203,116 £28,000 ²³⁸ £401,000 Council			
	£0 £0 £225,058 £0 £78,530 £0 £0 £0	£96,000 £96,000 Included in operation £0 £0 £0 £0 £225,058 £210,486 £0 £0 £78,530 £78,530 £0 £0 Does not own or op £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 CCTV is the responsibility of Rugby First	Included in operation and maintenance condition £0			

_

www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

²²⁹ Contracted out, the Council pays £105,000 annually; this is included in the operation and maintenance costs.

²³⁰ CCTV is shared between RBC, Bromsgrove DC and Wyre Forest DC. Bromsgrove made the following contributions:2013/14 - £170,742, 2013/14 - £155,141, 2014/15 - £236,911. Wyre Forest DC make the following payments: 2012/13: £46,656, 2013/14: £47,643, 2014/15 - £46,285.

²³¹ CCTV operators employed by Surrey Police.

²³² In 2012/13 cameras monitored by the MOD, costs paid by the Council. For 13/14 and 14/15, cameras monitored by MOD but most costs covered through an agreement with local businesses (18 month SLA).

 $^{^{\}rm 233}$ Salary costs absorbed in Property Services and Operations officer time.

²³⁴ Cameras operated by Sussex Police.

Provide CCTV monitoring services for Spelthorne Borough Council, Epsom and Ewell Borough Council and Elmbridge Borough Council. Annual contribution by these authorities is £471,842.

²³⁶ Costs shared with Hart District Council.

²³⁷ Costs shared with Hart District Council.

²³⁸ From Council Procurement webpage - http://www.selby.gov.uk/procurement

South Holland	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Kesteven	£162,907	£157,081	£154,323	£474,311
South Lakeland		Information	on not held	
South Norfolk	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Northamptonshire	CCTV is	the responsibility Nor	thamptonshire Coun	ty Council
South Oxfordshire	£85,381.00	£72,207.72	£77,165.31	£234,754.03
South Ribble	£0	£0	£0	£0
South Somerset	£54,500	£54,500	£54,500	£163,500 ²³⁹
South Staffordshire	No salaried CCT	V monitors - all mon	itoring paid from mai	ntenance figures
Spelthorne	Operators emp	oloyed by Safer Runny	ymede - included in o	perational costs
St Albans ²⁴⁰	£168,238.44	£168,238.44	£168,238.44	£504,715.32
St Edmundsbury	£175,341.74	£187,202.10	£205,460.65	£568,004.49
Stafford	£87,600	£82,000	£80,000	£249,600 ²⁴¹
Staffordshire Moorlands	Refused un	der Section 43(2) - Co	ommercially sensitive	
Stevenage	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²⁴²
Stratford-on-Avon	£75,021	£77,966	£74,493	£227,480
Stroud		Information	not provided	
Suffolk Coastal	No came	eras are operated by,	or on behalf of, Suffo	
Surrey Heath	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²⁴³
Swale	Information ca	annot be provided - C	CCTV is managed by N	Aedway Council
Tamworth	Information canno	t be broken down be Co	tween public space a CTV	nd non-public space
Tandridge	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²⁴⁴
Taunton Deane	Information not	broken down - CCTV	managed by Sedgem	ore district council
Teignbridge	No information hel	d - CCTV responsibilit in 2	ty transferred to a loc 2012	cal community group
Tendring		No informa	tion provided	
Test Valley ²⁴⁵	£0	£0	£0	£0
Tewkesbury	£0	£0	£0	£0
Thanet	£127,000	£127,000	£127,000	£381,000
Three Rivers	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²⁴⁶

Contribute £54,500 per annum to the running of Sedgemoor District Council's control centre.

Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council pays an additional £71,000 per annum towards the contract charge.

Honitoring contracted out to external provider.

Salary and pension costs met by contractor.

²⁴³ CCTV monitored by Surrey Police.
244 Authority does not employee CCTV monitors.
245 Cameras are not routinely monitored.

²⁴⁶ Cameras not monitored 24/7

ı						
Tonbridge and Malling	Contracted out - approximately £100,000 per annum, included in operation maintenance costs					
Torridge	£76,332	£80,766	£58,686	£215,784		
Tunbridge Wells	£131,250	£131,250	£100,000	£362,500		
Uttlesford	£0	£0	£0	£0		
Vale of White Horse	£92,546.34	£110,181.00	£105,600.44	£308,327.78		
Warwick	£147,678	£139,375	£139,945	£426,998		
Watford	£144,000	£144,000	£144,000	£432,000 ²⁴⁷		
Waveney		Outsourced to	Waveney Norse			
Waverley	£0	£0	£0	£0		
Wealden	CCTV is the respo	nsibility of Sussex Poli contribute	ice - some town and to the cost	parish councils may		
Wellingborough	Operated by Nort	hampton Borough Co and mail	uncil - expenditure ir ntenance.	cluded in operation		
Welwyn Hatfield	£71,000	£71,000	£71,000	£213,000 ²⁴⁸		
West Devon		No respon	se received			
West Dorset	£0	£0	£0	£0		
West Lancashire	Costs included in	n operation and main	tenance - undertaker	n under a contract		
West Lindsey	£16,340.23	£16,635.90	£18,286.17	£51,262.30		
West Oxfordshire		No respon	se received			
West Somerset	£12,588	£12,696	£20,862	£46,146		
Weymouth and Portland	£244,168	£214,155	£150,261	£608,584		
Winchester	Monitoring service	outsourced and cost co	s included in operationsts			
Woking	£0	£0	£0	£0 ²⁴⁹		
Worcester	Costs ir	ncluded in operation a	and maintenance - se	e note ²⁵⁰		
Worthing			s response			
Wychavon		Information no	ot broken down			
Wycombe	£205,174.13	£220,446.22	£235,808.13	£661,428.48		
Wyre	Information cann	ot be broken down -	staff undertake mult	iple responsibilities		
Wyre Forest	£41,496.60	£41,496.60	£41,496.60	£124,489.80 ²⁵¹		
Total				£30,855,266.21		

Contract held by OCS Group Ltd.

248 Service level agreement in place with St Alban's City Council. An annual contribution is paid.

249 Monitored by Surrey Police

²⁵⁰ Response notes: "Worcester City Council contracts out its CCTV service to a partner authority (Wychavon District Council). The £70k cost outlined above in response to question 2 relating to CCTV operation and $maintenance\ for\ fixed\ cameras,\ is\ split\ as\ follows\ -\ \pounds 50k\ for\ operation/control\ room\ and\ \pounds 20k\ for\ maintenance.\ As$ Worcester City Council contributes £50k to a service level agreement where staff are supplied by a third party, it means we are unable to break the £50K amount down further."

251 Monitoring carried out by another Council by way of contract.

Appendix 2: Methodology

A Freedom of Information request was sent to all local authorities beginning on the 17^{th} July 2015.

We asked for the amount that had been spent on the installation and maintenance of both fixed and mobile public space CCTV cameras. We also asked for the salary costs of those employed to monitor cameras as well as the total number of cameras controlled by the local authority.

We received a 96% response rate. For the purposes of this report responses were included until 17th February 2016.

Appendix 3: Original Freedom of Information Request

Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing to obtain information about your local authority's use of CCTV cameras.

To outline my query as clearly as possible, I am requesting:

1) The amount spent by your authority on the installation of public-facing CCTV cameras and the purchase or lease of recording equipment and premises.

I request that the information be laid out in the following format:

Council	Amount spent on fixed public facing cameras			Amount spent on mobile public facing cameras			Total council spend on cameras		
	2012/ 13	2013/14	2014/15	2012/13	2013/14 2014/15		2012/1 3	2013/1 4	2014/1 5
Name	£1,00 0	£400	£0	£450	£250	£100	£1,450	£750	£100

2) The annual cost of your authority's public-facing CCTV operation and maintenance.

I would like this information broken down into fixed and mobile camera devices.

I request that the information be laid out in the following format:

Council	Annual cost of CCTV operation and maintenance for fixed cameras		Annual cost of CCTV operation and maintenance		Total council spend on CCTV operation and maintenance				
	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Name	£150	£40	£0	£500	£200	£100	£650	£240	£100

- 3) The annual wage and salary cost of CCTV operators including pension liabilities to your authority.
- 4) The total number of cameras controlled by your authority as of 1st March 2015.

I request that the time period covered for questions 1-3 is 1st March 2012-1st March 2015.

If your authority's public-facing CCTV operation is operated by either the local police or another council, or on behalf of another authority, please make this clear and provide any details of the annual cost of the lease or annual stipend paid to (or received from) these organisations for the operation of the CCTV network.

I understand under the Freedom of Information Act that I am entitled to a response within twenty working days. I would be grateful if you could confirm this request in writing as soon as possible.

69

About Big Brother Watch

Big Brother Watch was set up to challenge policies that threaten our privacy, our freedoms and our

civil liberties, and to expose the true scale of the surveillance state.

Founded in 2009, we have produced unique research exposing the erosion of civil liberties in the UK,

looking at the dramatic expansion of surveillance powers, the growth of the database state and the

misuse of personal information.

We campaign to give individuals more control over their personal data, and hold to account those

who fail to respect our privacy, whether private companies, government departments or local

authorities.

Protecting individual privacy and defending civil liberties, Big Brother Watch is a campaign group for

the digital age.

If you are a journalist and you would like to contact Big Brother Watch, including outside office

hours, please call +44 (0) 7505 448925 (24hrs). You can also email press@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

for written enquiries.

Email: info@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk

Mail:

Big Brother Watch

55 Tufton Street

London SW1P 3QL